IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.401 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010010692026
W.P.(C) No. 1295 of 2014
CNR No. ODHC010025262014
W.P.(C) No. 7606 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010172062026

WP(C) No.401 of 2026

Debraj Mohanty and others ..... **Petitioners**

Represented by Adv. – Sidhartha Mishra

-versus-

State Of Odisha and Others ..... **Opposite Parties**

Represented by Adv. - Mr. G. Mohanty, SC

W.P.(C) No. 1295 of 2014

Rajesh P. Mohanty ..... **Petitioner**

Represented by Adv. – M/s. K.C.Satpathy,

M/s M.K.Pati, Adv.

-versus-

State Of Odisha and Others ..... **Opposite Parties**

Represented by Adv. -

Mr. G. Mohanty, SC

W.P.(C) No. 7606 of 2026

Debraj Mohanty and others ..... **Petitioners**

Represented by Adv. – Sidhartha Mishra

-versus-

State Of Odisha and Others ..... **Opposite Parties**

Represented by Adv. - Mr. G. Mohanty, SC

Saswati Soumya Sahu for O.P. Nos. 4 to 7.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER
04.11.2026

Order No. 11

1. These matters are taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Since all these writ applications involve common facts and relate to the same property and the dispute is also between the same parties, all the three are taken up together for disposal.

3. Mr. Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioners in W.P.(C) No. 401 of 2026 and W.P.(C) No.7606 of 2026 submits on instructions that Civil Suits being C.S. No.745 of 2019 and C.S. No.687 of 2019 are pending at the stage of hearing before the Court of Senior Civil Judge, Jajpur. He further submits that the plaintiffs' evidence has been concluded and the matter is fixed for evidence from the side of the defendants. It is stated at the bar that the property involved in the revision is the same as the property involved in the Civil Suits. It is also stated that the parties litigating are the same. It is submitted that in W.P.(C) No.401 of 2026 only the notice issued by the revisional authority has been challenged

4. Several contentions have been raised to question the correctness of the order passed by the Settlement Authority including the question of fraud. Since two Civil Suits relating to the same property between the same parties are pending, this Court would not like to offer any comments on the merits of such contentions. It goes without saying that if the Civil Court is in seisin over the matter, its decision will override the decision taken by the Revisional Authority.

5. The writ Applications are therefore, disposed of with the observation that order of the Revisional Authority, which is impugned

before this Court, shall abide by the ultimate result of the pending Civil Suits.

6. Since the Civil Court is already in seisin over the matter, the Revisional Authority shall not proceed with the revision till disposal of the Civil Suits.

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge

A.K. Rana

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: AJAYA KUMAR RANA
Designation: P.A.
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 04-Nov-2026 19:26:41