IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMC No. 233 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010003332026

Satyajit Samal …. ***Petitioner(s)***
Mr. S.P. Deo, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Anr. …. ***Opp. Party(s)***

Mr. Jateswar Nayak, AGA

Mr. S.R. Rao, Advocate (O.P.2)

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIBO SANKAR MISHRA

ORDER

Order No. **06.11.2026**

03. 1. At the instance of the opposite party No.2, the F.I.R. in Capital P.S. Case No. 95 of 2024 came to be registered against the petitioner corresponding to C.T. Case No. 217 of 2024 pending in the court of learned Adhoc Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Fast Track Special Court-II, Bhubaneswar arising out of C.T. Case No. 390 of 2024 of the court learned SDJM, Bhubaneswar.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the informant came in contact with the Petitioner through Jeevansathi.Com, which is a matrimonial website and developed intimacy with the Petitioner. The Petitioner kept physical relationship with her on the pretext of marrying

her. Then subsequently the petitioner demanded money from her, for which the informant by taking personal loans from various banks, gave to the Petitioner. It is further alleged that, the Petitioner has also taken her Maruti Baleno car and when she asked to return her money and the said car, the Petitioner refused to return the same. Hence, the FIR.

3. After investigation, charge sheet in the present case has already been filed. When the opposite party no.2 examined as P.W.1, she in her deposition stated that she has already settled the dispute, inter alia, deposing as under:-

> *"It is a fact that neither in the FIR nor in my 164 Cr.P.C. statement I have given the details of the car purchased by me at my expenses and involved in the present case. It is a fact that I did not disclose to my family members regarding the matters relating to the accused obtained by me in the matrimonial site. It is a fact that from my side I have given a proposal for marriage to the accused without the knowledge of his family members. <u>It is a fact that now the dispute has been settled between ourselves and I do not want to proceed further in this case</u>."*

4. The opposite party no.2/informant has also filed an affidavit dated 14.10.2026 before this Court, relevant parts of which reads thus:-

> *"2. That as per my Aadhaar Card, my year of birth is ……. and I am a major. A copy of the Aadhaar Card is annexed as ANNEXURE-A.*
>
> *3. That the Petitioner and I were known to each*

other, and the relationship between us was with my free will and consent.

4. That the Petitioner started avoiding me, and out of anger and frustration, only with the intention of getting him back, I took the harsh step of lodging the case against him. The said step was taken in a fit of anger and on a -wrong impulse.

5. That the stage of the trial has reached where I have been examined, and in my cross-examination I have also stated that I had given my consent to the Petitioner.

6. That the matter between the Petitioner and me has been amicably settled. and our monetary matter has also been fully settled to my satisfaction. 1 have no further claim, grievance or grudge against the Petitioner.

7. That I am making this affidavit voluntarily, of my own free will, without any pressure, threat, coercion or undue influence from anyone. The contents of this affidavit have been read over and explained to me in the language known to me, and I have understood the same.

8. That in view of the settlement, I do not wish to prosecute the case any further and continuation of the proceeding would serve no useful purpose and would only cause harassment to both sides.

9. That I have no objection if this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to quash the proceeding in C.T. Case No. 217 of 2024 arising out of C.T. Case No. 390 of 2024 pending before the learned Adhoc Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Fast Track Special Court No. II, Bhubaneswar, in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction, in the interest of justice."

5. The petitioner and the informant-opposite party no.2 are present in Court and they have been identified by their lawyers. They have also filed photocopies of their

respective adhar cards to establish their identity , which are taken on record

6. The informant-opposite party no.2, who is present in court, on query from the Court has stated that she has already settled the dispute and she wants to move on in her life and does not want to prosecute the petitioner any further. She further submits that she has already received the settled amount hence, she does not want to proceed against the petitioner and she joins with the petitioner praying for quashing of the entire prosecution.

7. Since in the evidence in the trial as well on the affidavit the victim has not supported the prosecution case, this Court, at this stage although is not inclined to quash the proceeding. However, regard being had to the peculiarity of the present case, learned Adhoc Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Fast Track Special Court-II, Bhubaneswar is directed to ensure that the trial of the present case is concluded as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months hence.

8. The CRLMC is disposed of.

Ashok

(S.S. Mishra)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ASHOK KUMAR JAGADEB MOHAPATRA
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 12-Nov-2026 10:47:36