IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S). No. 4757 of 2026

Panpati Devi, aged about 75 years, wife of late Parmeshwar Lohar, Resident of Village: Manatu P.O Chutupalu, P.S. Ormanjhi, District Ranchi Jharkhand

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Chairman, Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (JUVNL) Near Project Building, P.O Dhurwa, P.S Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand)
3. The Secretary, Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (JUVNL) Near Project Building, P.O Dhurwa, P.S Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand)

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jay Prakash Pandey, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Mohan Kr. Dubey, SC
: Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, AC to GA-V

02/ Dated: 23.09.2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

> *"i. For issuance of an appropriate writ Order / Orders /direction/directions and writ in the nature mandamus for direction upon the respondent sanction and release the family pension / pensionary benefits with full retrospective effect from the date of his compulsory retirement.*
>
> *(ii). For further issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus, commanding the Respondents to grant all admissible benefits to the Petitioner, including proper calculation and payment of revised pension, gratuity, and other post-retiral dues, along with statutory interest and all consequential benefits.*

(iii). For further issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus commanding upon the Respondents to grant of ACP/MACP and Cadre promotion on the ground that the Petitioner's husband had completed 33 Years of his Service.

(iv). Further direct the Respondents to pay statutory interest on the delayed payment of family pension, gratuity, and other consequential benefits from the date she became due till the date of actual disbursement."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application, as such, she submits that this case may be disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent for redressal of his grievance

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No. 2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner, and if the order is passed in favour of the petitioner, the consequential benefits be granted within a further period of 2 weeks. The petitioner may serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

23.09.2026

Abha/-