IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 1818 of 2026

Bablu Pandit @ Bablu Kumar, aged about 45 years, S/o Sri Heeralal Pandit, R/o Village- Surhu, PO and PS- Nawadih, District- Bokaro.

… … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. **… … Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anupam Anand, Advocate
Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Shweta Singh, Advocate
For the Informant : Mr. Pankaj Verma, Advocate
Mr. A. K. Sahani, Advocate

04/**28.04.2026** Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025 in connection with Nawadih P.S. Case No.10 of 2024 for the offences registered under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and the case is pending in the Court of learned Addl. CJM, Bermo at Tenughat.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that charge has been framed on 12.01.2026, but no witness has been examined so far. He has further submitted that so far the other witnesses are concerned, they have partly supported the case of the prosecution.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner by referring Para-11 of the instant bail application has submitted that the reason for false implication of the petitioner is that the son of the petitioner was killed in the year 2011 and consequently, FIR was lodged. The accused of the said case has lodged the present case in order to pressurize the petitioner and other family members.

5. Learned counsel for the opposite party-State assisted by learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is direct allegation of committing rape upon the victim-girl by the petitioner and further the eye-witnesses have also seen the petitioner fleeing away from the place of occurrence. Further, the victim has also supported the case under Section 183 BNSS, 2023.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the next date of the case is tomorrow i.e. 29.04.2026.

7. Learned counsel has submitted that he shall ensure that the witness (s) is produced tomorrow i.e. 29.04.2026 before the learned Trial Court. The other witnesses shall also be promptly produced.

8. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the direct allegation against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner (above-named) and accordingly, the same is rejected.

9. The state is directed to ensure prompt production of witnesses.

10. Learned APP for the State is directed to communicate this order to the concerned Superintendent of Police and also to the director prosecution to ensure compliance.

11. Let this order be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 28.04.2026

Uploaded on: ……..

sandeep/