IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.1059 of 2025

---

1. Rohit Kumar Yadav @ Rohit Yadav, aged about 32 years, Son of Lochan Yadav, Resident of Village Chiniya, P.O. Chiniya, P.S. Chiniya, District Garhwa, Jharkhand
2. Santosh Yadav @ Pultu Yadav, aged about 32 years, Son of Bharam Yadav, Resident of Village Chiniya, P.O. Chiniya, P.S. Chiniya, District Garhwa, Jharkhand
3. Komal Yadav, aged about 45 years, Son of Dilip Yadav, Resident of Village Chiniya, P.O. Chiniya, P.S. Chiniya, District Garhwa, Jharkhand

…. …. Appellants

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Rajendra Korwa, S/o Late Dular Korwa, aged about 58 years, Resident of Village Chiniya, P.O. Chiniya, P.S. Chiniya, District Garhwa, Jharkhand

…. …. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellants : Mr. Sabyasanchi, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Vishwanath Roy, A.P.P.
For the Respondent No.2 : Mr. Awadhesh Pandey, Adv.

07/Dated: 05th May, 2026

1. The present appeal has been filed under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

2. Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the victim.

3. The appeal has been filed against the impugned order dated 19.11.2025 passed in M.C.A No.1363 of 2025 by the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge (SC/ST Act), Garhwa in connection with Chiniya P.S. Case No.42 of 2025, for the offence under Sections 103(1), 238, 61(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S, 2023 and Sections 3 (2)(v) of the SC/ST (PoA) Act. The case is presently pending in the court of the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge (SC/ST Act), Garhwa.

4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that it is a case of circumstantial evidence. The appellants are in custody since 19.10.2025

and they undertake to participate in the trial. On these grounds, the prayer for bail has been made.

5. Learned counsel for the State and the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 have opposed the prayer for bail.

6. A report has been sent by the learned Trial Court, wherein, it has been mentioned that out of thirteen charge-sheeted witnesses, six have already been examined, till date.

7. Considering the period of custody and the above facts, the appellants named above, are directed to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge (SC/ST Act), Garhwa in connection with Chiniya P.S. Case No.42 of 2025, subject to the condition that the appellants will submit self-attested photocopy/photocopies of their Aadhaar Cards and also submit their mobile numbers before the learned court below which they will always keep active and will not change it during pendency of this case without prior permission of the court.

8. In the result, the instant appeal stands allowed and disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated: **05th May, 2026**
Raja/-Uploaded on 07.05.2026