IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

C.M.P. No. 477 of 2026

(with I.A. No., 2006 of 2026)

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Higher & Technical Education and Skill development Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Nepal House, P.O & P.S: Doranda, District: Ranchi.
2. The Director, Technical Education, Govt. Of Jharkhand, Nepal House, P.O & P.S: Doranda, District: Ranchi.
3. The Director, B.I.T Sindri, P.O & P.S: Sindri, District: Dhanbad.
4. The Principal, B.I.T. Sindri, P.O & P.S: Sindri, District: Dhanbad.

... Respondents/Appellants/Petitioners

Versus

Arvind Kumar, son of Late Kaldeo Singh, resident of BIT Sindri Campus, P.O & P.S: Sindri, District: Dhanbad.

... Petitioner/Respondent/Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ PRASAD

For the Petitioners: Mr Indranil Bhaduri, S.C.-IV

03/Dated: 02.11.2026

***Per* M. S. Sonak, C.J.**

1. IA No. 2006 of 2026 seeks condonation of delay of 22 days in filing the accompanying CMP.

2. We have perused the averments made in the IA.

3. Since sufficient cause has been shown, the delay of 22 days is condoned and IA No. 2006 of 2026 is disposed of.

4. Mr Indanil Bhaduri, the learned counsel for the appellants, states that the directions in the impugned order have already been complied without prejudice to the rights and contentions urged in this appeal and subject to the outcome of this appeal. He pointed out that after such compliance, even the Contempt Petition filed against the appellants has been disposed of by order dated 06.08.2026.

5. This CMP seeks restoration of L.P.A. (Filing) No. 8630 of 2025.

6. Again, we have perused the averments made in the CMP. We are satisfied that the petitioners deserve an additional opportunity. Sufficient cause has been shown for non-complying the peremptory order for clearance of office objections.

7. Mr Indranil Bhaduri, learned S.C.-IV, assured the Court that if the matter is restored, office objections will be cleared within four weeks without giving any excuses.

8. Accordingly, C.M.P. is allowed, the order dismissing L.P.A. is recalled and L.P.A. (Filing) No. 8630 of 2025 is restored to the file.

9. The petitioners are granted four weeks' time to clear the office objections. However, if the office objections are not cleared within four weeks, then, the L.P.A. once again shall stand dismissed for non-prosecution without further reference to this Court.

10. If the office objections are cleared within time indicated above, then, the Registry to number the LPA and IAs therein and place the same for consideration under the appropriate heading in due course.

11. CMP and IAs are disposed of.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Manoj Prasad, J.)

November 02, 2026

N.A.F.R.

M.Kr./Sharda/Cp.2

Uploaded on 03.11.2026