IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 250 of 2026

Balram Sahu, S/o Kandarp Sahu, aged about 46 years, R/o Village Umedanda, P.O. Umedanda, P.S. Burmu, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.
..... …. Petitioner (s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Yaqub Ansari ….. …. Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Suresh Prajapati, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Abhay Kumar Tiwari, A.P.P.
For the Opp. Party No.2 : Mr. Prabhat Singh, Advocate

Order No. 04/ Dated 07.09.2026

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P as well as learned counsel for the opposite party No.2.

This revision application is directed against the judgment and order dated 15.09.2025 passed in Cr. Appeal No.215/2025 by the learned Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, whereby and whereunder, the judgment and order of conviction and sentence dated 23.05.2025 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Ranchi in connection with Complaint Case No.551/2024, convicting the petitioner for the offence under Section 138 of the N.I. Act and sentencing him to undergo simple imprisonment for six months along-with an amount of Rs.1,42,790/- as compensation, has been affirmed.

It has been submitted at the outset by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter was referred to the mediation center and the report of the mediator reveals that the matter has been settled between the parties and an amount of Rs.1,13,000/- towards full and final settlement has already been extended to the opposite party No.2.

This fact has not been controverted by the learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 and he has further submitted that he does not have any grievance against the petitioner.

The report of the Mediator which is at Flag "R" reveals that initially, an amount of Rs.50,000/- was paid by the petitioner to the opposite party No.2 and subsequently, further amounts of Rs.20,000/-and Rs.43,000/- were to be extended to the opposite party No.2 and it has been submitted by the

learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 that the said amount has already been received by the opposite party No.2.

Regard being had to the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the disputes between them have been finally settled and offence being compoundable in nature, the judgment and order dated 15.09.2025 passed in Cr. Appeal No.215/2025 by the learned Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi and the judgment and order of conviction and sentence dated 23.05.2025 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Ranchi in connection with Complaint Case No.551/2024, are hereby quashed and set aside on the basis of compromise.

This application stands allowed.

Pending I.A, if any, stands closed.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Dated 07/09/2026
BS/-