2026:JHHC:12279

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI

A.B.A. No. 6502 of 2025

Sinku Shekh, aged 36 years, son of Baharul Shekh, resident of Village Gohalbari, PO and PS Farakka, District Murshidabad, West Bengal .... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mrs Vani Kumari, Advocate
For the State :- Mr. Satish Prasad, Advocate

---

*2/**27.04.2026*** Heard learned counsels for petitioner and for State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Pakur (M) PS Case No.240 of 2023, for offence registered under section 392 of the IPC, pending in court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pakur.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and the name of the petitioner has come on confessional statement. She next submits that the allegations are there that four gas cylinders have been seized from the house of one Raju Shekh @ Rajekul Shekh and said Raju Shekh @ Rajekul Shekh has been granted anticipatory bail in ABA No.7198 of 2025. She next submits that the name of the petitioner has come on confessional statement. She next submits that one Biji Shekh @ Saidul Shekh has been granted anticipatory bail in ABA No.6886 of 2025 considering that no

recovery of cylinder has been made from that person and she further submits that the petitioner is having one criminal antecedent of theft.

4. Learned State counsel opposes prayer and submits that name of the petitioner has come on confessional statement and the petitioner is having criminal antecedent and in view of that anticipatory bail to the petitioner may kindly be rejected.

5. Considering that name of the petitioner has come on confessional statement and the persons from whose house, the gas cylinder was recovered, he has been granted anticipatory bail and based on the said order, another person has already been granted anticipatory bail and the criminal antecedent cannot be the sole ground either for rejecting or allowing the regular bail or anticipatory bail, and since the two of the co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail, and as such, the petitioner, above named, is, hereby, directed to surrender before learned court within two weeks from today, and learned court shall release the petitioner, above named, on such terms and conditions as well as sureties as the learned court may deem fit and proper.

6. A.B.A. No.6502 of 2025 is disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

27.04.2026
SI/