IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 8580 of 2026

Dilip Karmkar, aged about 49 years, s/o. Chedi Karmkar, resident of village Malahitola, P.O. Saidpur, P.S. Buzurg, Dist. Sahebganj.

……. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Govt. of Jharkhand, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, Dist. Ranchi.
3. Director, Primary Education, Department of School Education and Literacy, Govt. of Jharkhand, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, Dist. Ranchi.
4. Dy. Commissioner, Sahebganj, P.O. & P.S. Sahebganj, Dist. Sahebganj.
5. District Superintendent of Education, Sahebganj, P.O. & P.S. Sahebganj, Dist. Sahebganj.

……. **Respondents**.

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arbind Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Suresh Kumar, SC(L&C)-II

02/ 02.11.2026 By way present writ application, the petitioner has prayed for a direction upon the respondents to issue appointment letter to the petitioner for the post of Trained Assistant Teacher non-para in the district of East Singhbhum, since the petitioner has got more marks than the last selected candidate but inspite of the same, his candidature has not been considered.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that suffice it would be if this writ petition is treated as representation of the petitioner and a direction be given to the respondents to pass a reasoned order within a stipulated period.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since no counter-affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondents; as such, petitioner may be directed to file fresh representation before the concerned respondents so that his grievance can be redressed.

4. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances and limited submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the Respondent No. 4 to treat

this writ application as representation and take a decision with regard to the claim made by the petitioner in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations as well as Annexure-5, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. It goes without saying that if the decision is taken in favour of the petitioner, necessary consequential order be issued within a further period of two weeks.

6. Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of this writ application to the concerned respondent so that his grievance can be redressed at the earliest.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

2nd November, 2026
Kunal/
Uploaded
06.11.2026