IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P. No.832 of 2026

---

1. Indradeo Yadav @ Inderdeo Yadav, S/o Late Jehal Yadav, aged about 61 years,
2. Suresh Yadav S/o Late Jehal Yadav, aged about 55 years,
3. Tooklal Yadav S/o Late Jehal Yadav, aged about 54 years,
   All are residents of Village-Baddiha, P.O. + P.S.-Koderma, District-Koderma.

… Petitioners

Versus

1. Baleshwar Yadav, S/o Late Bhattu Yadav, aged about 66 years,
2. Prem Yadav, S/o Late Battu Yadav, aged about 55 years,
   Both residents of Village-Baddiha, P.O. + P.S.-Koderma, District-Koderma.

… Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Brijnandan Kumar, Advocate
For the State : None

Order No:-03 Dated:-06-11-2026

Heard the parties.

Though, the opposite parties have put in their appearance through an Advocate, but no one turns up on behalf of the opposite parties in spite of repeated calls.

This civil miscellaneous petition has been filed by the petitioners with the prayer for restoration of S.A. No.242 of 2019 to its original file; which stood dismissed for non-compliance of the order dated 16.12.2024.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that S.A. No.242 of 2019 stood dismissed for non-compliance of the order dated 16.12.2024 for depositing of Rs.2,000/- by the petitioners with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) within two weeks. It is next submitted that due to unavoidable circumstances, the learned counsel for the petitioners could not deposit Rs.2,000/- with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) within the stipulated time; which resulted in dismissal of the said S.A. No.242 of 2019 for non-compliance of the order dated 16.12.2024**.** It is next submitted that non-compliance of the said order dated 16.12.2024 was neither deliberate nor

intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioners have very good grounds to agitate in the said S.A. No.242 of 2019 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioners will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the S.A. No.242 of 2019 be restored to its original file.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the facts and circumstances of this case, S.A. No.242 of 2019 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list S.A. No.242 of 2019 before the concerned Bench after a week.

This Civil Miscellaneous Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

06/11/2026
Abhiraj/