IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P. No.1215 of 2026

Brijesh Bahadur Singh, aged about 71 years, Son of Late Ganga Vishnu Singh, Resident of Village-Azmatullaganj, P.O. Ajmatullaganj, P.S. Harchandpur, District-Raibareli (Uttar Pradesh).

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Chief Secretary of Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi, Project Bhawan P.O. Project Bhawan, P.S. Jagarnathpur & District-Ranchi.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms & Rajyabhasa, Project Bhawan P.O. Project Bhawan, P.S. Jagarnathpur & District-Ranchi.
3. The Law Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan P.O. Project Bhawan, P.S. Jagarnathpur & District-Ranchi.
4. The Registrar General, Jharkhand High Court, Ranchi, P.O. Jharkhand High Court, P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.
5. The Under Secretary, Department of Personnel & Administrative Reforms & Rajyabhasa, Project Bhawan P.O. Project Bhawan, P.S. Jagarnathpur & District-Ranchi.

.... Opp. Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AKHIL KUMAR

For the Appellant : Mrs. Vani Kumari, Advocate
For the Opp. Parties : None

02/Dated: 06.11.2026

1. The present petition has been filed for restoration of W.P.(S) No.3261 of 2013 which has been dismissed for default, vide order dated 30.09.2024 for non-prosecution.

2. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the day, when the case was listed, the name of another counsel, i.e., Mr. Ashish Kumar, was being reflected and after joining the job, Mr. Ashish Kumar, is not the regular practitioner of this Court, therefore, the list could not have been marked resulting into dismissal of the writ petition.

3. Mrs. Vani Kumari, learned counsel, after knowing the aforesaid

fact, has executed the *Vakalatnama* in her favour for the purpose of filing restoration application, accordingly, the present civil misc. petition has been filed.

4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that if the writ petition will not be restored, the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and injury which will not be compensated for all time to come.

5. None is present to represent the opposite parties, although, the name of Mr. Munna Lal Yadav, learned SC (L&C)-III is reflecting in the daily cause list.

6. This Court, after having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the submission advanced on her behalf, as also, the reason assigned in the instant civil misc. petition, deems it fit and proper to restore W.P.(S) No.3261 of 2013, which has been dismissed for non-prosecution vide order dated 30.09.2024, to its original file.

7. In view thereof, W.P.(S) No.3261 of 2013 is restored to its original file.

8. Accordingly, the instant Civil Misc. Petition stands disposed of.

9. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Akhil Kumar, J.)

06.11.2026
Rohit/-