IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 8425 of 2026

Md. Maskurur Rahman, aged about 40 years, son of Late Md. Zabed Biswas, resident of Village Hariganj, P.O. Gandhaipur, P.S. & District - Pakur ....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Deputy Commissioner, Pakur, P.O., P.S. & District - Pakur
3. District Education Officer, Pakur, P.O., P.S. & District – Pakar
4. Sub Divisional Officer, Pakur, P.O., P.S. & District - Pakur
5. Jharkhand Academic Council, Ranchi, through its Secretary, Gyandeep campus, Bargawan, P.O. & P.S. Namkum, District Ranchi
6. Md. Badruddwaza, son of Late Nasir Biswas, resident of Village & P.O. - Manirampur, P.S. - Pakur (M), District – Pakur

....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ashim Kr. Sahani, Advocate
Mr. Vikesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Varun Prabhakar, A.C. to G.P.-III
Mrs. Richa Sanchita, Advocate
Mr. Vidhan Kr. Singh, Advocate
Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, Advocate

02/Dated: 26.10.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

> *For grant of an appropriate writ in the nature of certiorari, or an order or direction for quashing the letter issued under memo no. 259 dated 17/04/2026 (Annexure-6), whereby, the respondent no. 3, while cancelling the deputation of all teachers, continued deputation of the petitioner, and for an appropriate writ in the nature of certiorari or an order or direction for quashing the office order issued under memo no. 582 dated 13/08/2026 (Annexure-8), whereby, the respondent no. 3 recalled the office order issued under memo no. 569 dated 10/08/2026 (Annexure-7) with immediate effect and directed the petitioner to continue in the deputed post of "Head Moulvi" in Madarsa Imdadul Uloom, Chandrapara, and deputed the respondent no. 6 in place of the petitioner in Madarsa Darul Uloom, Hariganj, Pakur, and for any other appropriate writ or order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant

writ application; however, in spite of several representations, the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such, the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 10 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner. The petitioner shall serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

OCTOBER 26, 2026
vikas/-
uploaded
03/11/2026