IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S). No. 8526 of 2026

Rashmi Murmu, aged about 34 years, wife of Raymond Hansdak, resident of Village-Kagmara, P.O Mahubana, P.S. Ramgarh, District Dumka, Jharkhand

…..Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through Secretary, Department of Women, Child Development Social Security, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, P.O + P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi, Jharkhand
2. Deputy Commissioner, Dumka, P.O+ P.S+District Dumka
3. Child Development Project Officer, Ramgarh, P.O+P.S. Ramgarh, District Dumka **…Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Sunil Kr. Mahto, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Rakesh Kr. Roy, AC to GA-III

02/ Dated: 02.11.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

> *"i. To issue in favour of the petitioner appointment letter for the post of Sevika at Kangmara Anganbari Center, Mahubana Panchyat under Ramgarh Project, District-Dumka, as the petitioner stood successful in selection process, and was selected for the aforesaid post of Sevika in the selection process conducted on 04-06-2026, under the Chairmanship of respondent no.3 and though her name is finding place in the merit list and in the press release dated 04-06-2026 also, yet the respondents did not issue her appointment letter, instead issue new/afresh notice under memo No. 324 dated 18.08.2026, issued by respondent no.3, stating that Aam Sabha is to be conducted on 07.10.2026, for reselection of candidates for the post of Sevika at Kangmara Anganbari Sevika.."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; however, the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter

affidavit has been filed; as such the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No. 2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 10 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner. The petitioner may serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. It goes without saying that if the decision is taken in favour of the petitioner, necessary consequential order be passed within a further period of 2 weeks.

7. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

02.11.2026
Abha/-