IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S). No. 8474 of 2026

Dhananjay Kumar Singh, Aged about 50 years, S/o Anand Kumar Singh, R/o Village Kalipur, P.O. -Sakaldipa, P.S. Hariharganj, District- Palamu, Jharkhand. **…..Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, School Education & Literacy Department, Government of Jharkhand, At Project building. P.O. + P.S- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.

2. The Director, Primary Education, School Education & Literacy Department, At Project building. P.O. + P.S- Dhurwa, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand.

3. The Deputy Commissioner-cum-Chairman, District Programme Officer, Palamu, Daltonganj, P.O., P.S., District- Palamu, Jharkhand.

4. The District Superintendent of Education-cum-District Programme Officer, Palamu, P.O., P.S., District- Palamu, Jharkhand.

5. The Block Education Officer, Pipra Block, P.O.- Pipra, & P.S. Hariharganj, District-Palamu, Jharkhand. **…Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Sanket Khanna, AC to Sr. AAG

02/ Dated: 27.10.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

> *"i. For issuance of appropriate writ/writs order/orders, direction/directions of a writ in the nature of Mandamus upon the respondents to pay regular monthly payment of honorarium of the petitioner and dues honorarium for the following months: from 1st August 2024 to 30th December 2024, thereafter from 1st June 2025 to 30th August 2026 onwards. The respondents have paid payment of honorarium from 1 st January 2025 to 31st May 2025 to the petitioner. The petitioner has submitted his biometric absentee/attendance sheet to the respondent authorities. ."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully

entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; however, the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No. 4 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner. The petitioner may serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. It goes without saying that if the decision is taken in favour of the petitioner, necessary consequential order be passed within a further period of 2 weeks.

7. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

27.10.2026
Abha/-