IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 8419 of 2026

Malati Mahato, aged about 30 years, W/o Sri Mantu Mahato, resident of Village Jhimri, P.S- Neemdih, P.O Muru, District- Seraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand. ....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Deputy Commissioner, Seraikela-Kharsawan, P.O. & P.S- Seraikela-Kharsawan and District- Seraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand.
3. District Social Welfare Officer, Department of Women, Child Development and Social Security, P.O. & P.S- Seraikela-Kharsawan and District- Seraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand.
4. Project Officer, Child Development Project, Neemdih, P.O Muru, P.S- Neemdih, District-Seraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand.
5. Block Development Officer, Neemdih, P.S- Neemdih, P.O - Muru District - Seraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand.
6. Ladies Supervisor cum Convenor, Office of the Child Development Officer, Neemdih, P.O Muru, P.S- Neemdih, District- Seraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand.
7. Namita Mahto, W/o Nivaran Mahto, Resident of village- Jhimri, P.S- Neemdih, P.O Muru, District- Seraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand. ....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : M/s. Ravi Kumar, Abhilash, Bhawesh Kumar, Advocates

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. Deepika Jojowar, A.C. to S.C.-VI

02/Dated: 26.10.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

a. *For issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding upon the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner and declare her successful for the post of Angan Badi Sewika for Jhimri South (07), Angan Badi Center in the Neemdih block, district Seraikela-Kharsawan, as the petitioner has obtained second highest score 27, whereas, the respondent no. 7 who has obtained highest score of 29 but her result of Bachelor of Arts degree of the Genetic Special Exam is not yet finally published after conducting the special exam by the Kolhan University, but the points of graduation degree of the respondent no. 7 has been added by the respondents resulted her to get the highest score.*
b. *Pending the writ petition the respondents may be directed to stay the issuance of the appointment letter to the respondent no. 7, if any.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; however, in spite of repeated representations the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such, the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner and if the order is passed in favour of the petitioner, consequential order shall be passed within a further period of 2 weeks. The petitioner shall serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

OCTOBER 26, 2026
vikas/-
uploaded
27/10/2026