(2026:JHHC:31873)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr.M.P. No. 1068 of 2026

Narayan Giri, aged about 86 years, son of late Bhola Giri, resident of Village-Daldala, P.O.-Phuljhariya, P.S.-Ahilyapur, Dist.-Giridih (Jharkhand) At present residing at Village-Junori, P.O.-Taranari, P.S.-Nawadih at present Chandrapura, Dist.-Bokaro, Jharkhand

… Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Giridih, P.O. & P.S.-Giridih, Dist.-Giridih (Jharkhand)
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro, Collectoriate at Camp-II, P.O. & P.S.-Bokaro Steel City, Dist. Bokaro (Jharkhand)
4. The Superintendent of Police, Bokaro, P.O. & P.S.-Bokaro Steel City, Dist.-Bokaro, Jhakhand
5. The Officer-in-Charge of Chandrapura Police Station, P.O. & P.S.-Chandrapura, Dist.-Bokaro (Jharkhand)
6. Sandip Dangaich, son of late Radhey Shyam Dangaaich, resident of Makatpur, P.O.-Giridih, P.S.-Giridih (T), Dist.-Giridih (Jharkhand)
7. Sudhir Sharma, son of not known, resident of Tiranga Chowk, Giridih, P.O. & P.S.-Giridih, Dist.-Giridih (Jharkhand)
8. Govind Kumar Govind, son of not known, resident of Village-Paparwar Tand, P.O.-Giridih & P.S.-Giridih (Town), Dist.-Giridih (Jharkhand)
9. Vinod Kumar Verma, son of not known, resident of Village-Barmasiya, P.O.-Fulchi, P.S.-Giridih (T), Dist.-Giridih ... Opposite Parties

---

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rama K. Tiwari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kumar, GA III
For O.P. No.6 to 9 : Mr. Govind R. Karan, Advocate

Order No.06 Dated- 30.10.2026

Heard the parties.

This criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed at the instance of the petitioner for restoration of Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024 to its original file.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024 was dismissed for non-prosecution. It is next submitted that as the counsel for the petitioner had no knowledge about the case, hence, he could not appear before this Court when the case was called out and the same resulted in dismissal of Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024. It is next submitted that the petitioner has very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024 and unless Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024 is restored to its original file, the petitioner will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024 be restored to its original file.

Learned counsel for the opposite party nos.6 to 9 submits that the petitioner is deliberately delaying the matter and harassing the opposite party nos.6 to 9 by lingering the same. Hence, the opposite party nos.6 to 9 be adequately compensated with costs.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the facts of the case, Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024 is restored to its original file to the stage at which, it was before its dismissal subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- by the petitioner to the opposite party nos.6 to 9 through the counsel appearing in the record within four weeks, failing which, this conditional order shall not be given effect to and this criminal miscellaneous petition shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Bench.

In case, the petitioner files the proof of payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- by the petitioner to the opposite party nos.6 to 9 through the counsel appearing in the record within four weeks, list Cr.M.P. No. 1302 of 2024 under the appropriate heading before the appropriate Bench after a week.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

30.10.2026
Gunjan-