IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S). No. 7785 of 2026

---

1. Suchita Topno, aged about 35 years, Daughter of Stephen Topno, Resident of Village-Gundu, P.O Hulhundu, P.S Tupudana, Ranchi, Jharkhand.
2. Rajeev Kumar Yadav, aged about 38 years, Son of Bama Pad Yadav, resident of Vill-Dukhiyadih, P.O Kusumghata, P.S Tongra, District Dumka, Jharkhand

…Petitioners

VERSUS

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Principal Secretary, Department of School Education and Literacy, having its office at Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.

2. The Director, Department of School Education and Literacy, having its office at Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.

3. The Principal Secretary, Personnel, Administrative Reforms & Rajbhasa Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, P.O., P.S. Dhurwa & District Ranchi.

4. The Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission through its Chairman, having its office at Kali Nagar, Chai Bagan, P.O.. P.S. Namkum & District Ranchi.

5. The Secretary, Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission, having its office at Kali Nagar, Chai Bagan, P.O., P.S. Namkum & District Ranchi.

6. The Examination Controller, Jharkhand Staff Selection Commission, having its office at Kali Nagar, Chai Bagan, P.O., P.S. Namkum & District Ranchi.

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Shubham Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Sanjay Piprawall, Advocate
: Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. Jay Prakash, Advocate

02/ Dated: 05.10.2026

The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioners for the following reliefs:

i. *For a direction upon the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioners for appointment on the post of Sahayak Acharya (Class VI to VIII) in the Subject of Social Science and Language respectively, as the petitioners participated in the written examination and after being successful, called for document verification and are eligible in terms of the Advertisement No. 13/2023, as the petitioners possesses B.Ed. Degree which are duly recognized and in accordance with the regulation of the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE).*

ii. *In the interim the respondents JSSC may be directed to stay the appointment process till the disposal of the instant writ petition or reserve 03 seats for the writ petitioners subject to outcome of the writ petition;*

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue involved in this writ application is squarely covered by the order passed by this Court in W.P.(S) No. 3337 of 2025, wherein this Court has granted relief to the petitioners of the said case with regard to admissibility of two years/three years B.Ed. Degree.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents though does not dispute that the issue involved in this writ application is almost same and similar to that of W.P(S) No. 3337 of 2025; however, he submits that an appeal has been preferred before the Division Bench of this Court; therefore, let this case be listed after disposal of L.P.A. No. 510 of 2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the said L.P.A has been admitted, but the impugned order has not been stayed, rather contempt proceeding has been kept in abeyance. For brevity the order dated 10.08.2026 passed in L.P.A. No. 510 of 2025 is extracted hereinbelow;

"Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. We admit this appeal.

3. By way of interim relief we direct that 70 posts must not be filled in because should the appeal be dismissed, there should be posts available for the appointment of respondent Nos. 1 to 70, who were the writ petitioners before the learned Single Judge.

4. Further, we also direct that they were also the writ petitioners in W.P.(S) No. 3337 of 2025 before the learned Single Judge. Further, the contempt proceedings, if any, shall not proceed until the disposal of this appeal.

5. I.A. No. 4473 of 2026 for expeditious hearing and I.A. No. 11222 of 2025 for interim relief are disposed of.

6. I.A. No. 8695 of 2026 and I.A. No. 12551 of 2025 seeking intervention in this appeal are concerned, we dispose them of with

liberty to the applicants to apply to the learned Single Judge for disposal of their respective writ petitions.

7. L.P.A. No. 407 of 2026 is tagged along with L.P.A. No. 510 of 2025.

L.P.A. No. 407 of 2026

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

9. I.A. No. 3010 of 2026 seeking condonation of delay of 184 days in instituting this appeal is allowed because the reasons set out in the I.A. constitutes sufficient cause.

10. Accordingly, we condone the delay and dispose of I.A. No. 3010 of 2026.

11. Similarly, I.A. No. 3011 of 2026 for interim relief is also disposed of considering the order made in L.P.A. No. 510 of 2025.

12. We admit this appeal.

L.P.A. No. 510 of 2025 with L.P.A. No. 407 of 2026

13. Hearing of the two LPAs is expedited. Liberty to apply for a peremptory date in January, 2027."

5. As admitted by Ld. Counsel for the State that this case is squarely covered by the judgement rendered by this Court in W.P.(S) No. 3337 of 2025 and L.P.A. against the said order is pending; however, so far as the contention of counsel for the Service Commission that this case may be posted after disposal of L.P.A. No. 510 of 2025; this Court is not accepting the said contention in view of the fact that the said order has not been stayed and only the proceeding for contempt has been kept in abeyance; therefore, since the issue are same and similar; similar benefit shall be granted to these petitioners;

6. As a result, the instant writ petition stands allowed. Pending I.A(s), if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

05.10.2026

Abha/-