IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 6786 of 2026

1. Birendra Paul, aged about 44 years, son of: Raja Raghunandan Singh, resident of At-House No.51, Adarsh Mohalla, P.O.+P.S+Dist - Koderma, State-Jharkhand-825410.
2. Amit Kumar Choudhary, aged about 41 years, son of: Murari Mohan Singh, resident of At- Chirudih, P.O.:Tantri, P.S: Topchanchi Dist-Dhanbad, State-Jharkhand-828402.
3. Rohit Kumar Mallick, aged about 42 years, son of: Lakhan Lal, resident of At- Chhotkibagi Road, P.O.-P.S+Dist Koderma, State-Jharkhand-825410
4. Kanhai Rajak, aged about 45 years, son of: Binod Rajak, resident of At -Suranga, P.O.: Baliapur P.S: Tisra, Dist-Dhanbad, State-Jharkhand-828115.
5. Santosh Ram, aged about 40 years, son of: Nageshwar Ram, resident of At- Ward No.05, Goladih, P.O.+P.S: Jainagar, Dist-Koderma, State-Jharkhand-825109
6. Sunil Kumar Rajwar, aged about 39 years, son of: Kishun Rajwar, resident of At- Bhagudih, P.O. + P.S: Tundi, Dist-Dhanbad, State-Jharkhand-828109
7. Indradeo Pandit, aged about 48 years, son of: Mahadeo Pandit, resident of Bekobar, P.O.: Bekobar P.S: Koderma, Dist Koderma, State-Jharkhand-825409.
8. Abhijit Kumar, aged about 41 years, son of: Dilu Mahto, resident of Kamta, P.O.: Madaidih P.S.:Topchanchi, Dist-Dhanbad, State-Jharkhand

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Secretary, Primary Education and Literacy Department, Ranchi having its office at Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S.: Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand)-834004.
2. The Director, Primary Education and Literacy Department, Ranchi having its office at Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S.: Jagarnathpur, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand)-834004.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Koderma, PO, PS & Dist.: Koderma.
4. The District Superintendent of Education, Koderma PO, PS Dist.: Koderma.
5. The Deputy Commissioner, Dhanbad, PO, PS & Dist.: Dhanbad.
6. The District Superintendent of Education, Dhanbad PO, PS & Dist.: Dhanbad

..Respondents

--

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents : A.C to AAG-V

--

02/01.09.2026 The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioners for the reliefs:

For issuance of an appropriate writ(s)/ order(s)/ direction(s) in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to consider application of petitioners made for Intra-State Mutual Transfer in terms of Circular issued by the Primary Education and Literacy Department vide Notification No. 1556 dated 08.06.2022 as contained in Sankalp Sankhya-6 (kha) (iv);

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the instant writ application may be disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned respondent, so that grievance raised by the petitioners in this writ application can be redressed.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed, as such, petitioners may approach the concerned respondent for redressal of their grievances.

4. Having regard to the limited submission of learned counsel for the parties, without entering into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the petitioners to file fresh representation before the respondent no. 2.

The moment the respondent no. 2 receives such representation; he shall take a decision in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of such representation.

5. It goes without saying that if the decision is taken in favour of the petitioners, necessary consequential benefit be issued within a further period of 3 weeks.

6. Accordingly, the instant writ application stands disposed of.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

1st September, 2026
Jk
Uploaded on
10 /09/2026