IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.8154 of 2026

---

1. Sanjay Kumar, son of Raghubansh Sharma, resident of M-16, Old Housing Colony, Adityapur 1, Near Durga Pooja Maidan, Adityapur, Saraikela-Kharsawan, P.O. Adityapur Sub-Office, P.S. Adityapur Police Station, Jharkhand.
2. Raman Kumar, son of Late Krishna Ballav Prasad Singh, resident of R-7/1, R-Type Adityapur, Saraikela-Kharsawan, P.O. Adityapur Sub-Office, P.S. Adityapur Police Station.
3. Ravindra Kumar, son of Jairam Sinha, resident of Gumati Basti, Near Police Station, Adityapur, Saraikela-Kharsawan, P.O. Adityapur Sub-Office, P.S. Adityapur Police Station, Jharkhand.

..... Petitioners.

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand, through the Secretary/Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Jharkhand, having office at Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi.
2. The Inspector General of Registration, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Jharkhand, having office at Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi.
3. The Inspector of Registration Offices, Kolhan Division, Jamshedpur, having office at Sub-Registry Office, Old Court Campus, P.O. & P.S. Sakchi, Jamshedpur.
4. Dr. Srikrishna Sinha Sansthan, represented through its General Secretary, having its office at O.C. Road, P.O. & P.S. Bistupur, Town-Jamshedpur, East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.
5. Dr. Hari Ballabh Singh 'ARSI', the General Secretary of Dr. Srikrishna Sinha Sansthan, having office at O.C. Road, P.O. & P.S. Bistupur, Town-Jamshedpur, East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

..... Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioners : Mr. Siddharth Ranjan, Advocate
Mrs. Neha Bhardwaj, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shivam Kumar, A.C. to A.G.

Order No.02 **Date: 28.10.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the respondent no.2 to decide the petitioners' complaint dated 11th February, 2026 in which they had raised

instances of irregularities and corrupt practices by the respondent no.5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, highlighting the instances of the corruption and irregularities as well as the non-holding of elections of 'Dr. Srikrishna Sinha Sansthan' (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Sansthan') by the respondent no.5, who is the General Secretary of the said Sansthan, a society registered under the Societies Registration Act, 1860, made a compliant before the Inspector General of Registration, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Jharkhand (the respondent no.2) on 11th February, 2026.

3. It is further submitted that on receipt of said compliant, the office of the respondent no.2 sought a report from the Inspector of Registration Offices, Kolhan Division, Chaibasa (the respondent no.3) vide letter dated 9th April, 2026. Thereafter, the respondent no.3, vide letter dated 10th April, 2026 asked the petitioner no.1 to appear before him along with the evidence in support of the compliant made.

4. It is also submitted that pursuant to the letter dated 10th April, 2026, the petitioner no.1 appeared before the respondent no.3 and filed the required documents so as to enable him to send an appropriate report to the office of the respondent no.2. The grievance of the petitioners is that, thereafter, no further proceeding has been drawn by the respondent no.2 on their

complaint, which has compelled them to prefer the present writ petition.

5. Mr. Shivam Kumar, learned A.C. to A.G., appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, submits that the documents annexed with the writ petition suggests that consideration of the petitioners' complaint is at a preliminary stage before the respondent no.2. However, if the said compliant comes under the purview of adjudication to be made by the said respondent under the provisions of the Societies Registration Act, 1860, read with Bihar (now Jharkhand) Societies Registration Rules, 1965, an appropriate decision in accordance with law will be taken by the respondent no.2.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the limited prayer made in the writ petition, the respondent no.2 is directed to take up the aforesaid complaint of the petitioners and after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners/their representatives as well as the respondent nos.4 and 5, take an appropriate decision in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not entered into the merits of the complaint made by the petitioners or the maintainability of the said compliant before the respondent no.2 and, hence, the said aspects are left open to be decided by the respondent no.2 after providing due opportunity of hearing to the parties.

8. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with aforesaid directions and observations.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

Rohit/
28.10.2026
Uploaded on 29.10.2026