IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.8107 of 2026

Naresh Tiriya, son of Rajendra Tiriya, resident of village Tartaria, P.O.- Dhobadhobin & P.S. Manjhgaon, District-West Singhbhum at Chaibasha.

..... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, Ranchi.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, West Singhbhum at Chaibasha.
4. The Land Acquisition Officer, West Singhbhum at Chaibasha.
5. The Executive Engineer Road Construction Department Road Division Chaibasa.

..... Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Shalini Shahdeo, AC to SC (L&C)-I

Order No.02 **Date: 27.10.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the concerned respondent to pay due compensation to the petitioner in lieu of acquisition of house situated over the land appertaining to Khata No.61, Survey Plot Nos.655 and 658, Thana No.350, Mouza-Tatariya, Block-Manjhgaon, District-West Singhbhum at Chaibasha.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's house constructed over the aforesaid land was acquired by the State for widening and strengthening of the 'Dhobadhobin-Kharposh Road'. An award for compensation of Rs. 5,38,504/- was also prepared in favour of the petitioner, however, the said amount has not yet been paid to him. It is further submitted that a notice under Section 37(2) of the Right to Fair

Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 was issued to the petitioner by the Land Acquisition Officer, West Singhbhum (respondent no. 4) on 12th March, 2025. However, no effective steps have been taken by the said respondent thereafter, which has compelled the petitioner to prefer the present writ petition.

3. Mrs. Shalini Shahdeo, learned A.C. to S.C.(L&C)-I, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that the aforesaid claim of the petitioner is required to be examined by the competent authority i.e., respondent no.4. Hence, if the petitioner prefers a fresh representation on the present issue before the respondent no.4, an appropriate decision/step in accordance with law will be taken by the said respondent within a stipulated time frame.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of the prayer made in the present writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the petitioner is given liberty to prefer a fresh representation on the present issue before the respondent no.4. On receipt of the said representation, the said respondent after examining the relevant records and on providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other concerned persons, if so required, shall take an appropriate informed decision/step in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing of the representation.

5. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with aforesaid liberty and direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

Rohit/
27.10.2026
Uploaded on 28.10.2026