2026:JHHC:12362

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (C) No. 2999 of 2026

Marshal Minz, aged about 72 years, S/o Lt. Bandhan Birsa Minz, R/o Shanti Rani Vidyalaya, Bada Ghaghra, P.S. & P.O. Doranda, District Ranchi.
.... Petitioner(s).

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. District Commissioner, Ranchi, Block A, Collectorate, Kutchery, P.S. Kotwali, P.O. Kutchery, District Ranchi.
3. Circle Officer, Argora, P.O. & P.S. Argora, District Ranchi.
4. Deepak Kacchap, S/o Jitu Kacchap
5. Vijay Kacchap, S/o Late Chunda Kacchap
6. Kalua Kacchap, S/o Sundar Kacchap, all three R/o Bada Ghaghra, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, District Ranchi. **… Respondents.**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Ms. Manju Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent : Mr. Sahbaj Akhtar, AC to AAG-III

03/ 28.04.2026: Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.

2. After hearing the parties, I find that serious disputed question of right, title and possession is involved in this case. The petitioner claims that he is the title holder having documents in his favour but the land *mafia* is trying to encroach his land.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that on the basis of the report of the Circle Officer, Deoghar, the Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi has passed erroneous order in favour of the private respondents ignoring the fact that the title and the documents are in favour of the petitioner.

4. The entire argument revolves around the right, title and interest of the petitioner vis-a-vis the private respondents. These types of disputed question of facts cannot be looked into or decided under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Several documents are to be exhibited and ultimately the Court has to come to a conclusion as to who is the title holder of the land in question. Thus, it is the Civil Court of competent jurisdiction who has got jurisdiction to enter into the aforesaid realm and decide the issue permanently once and for all. Thus, I direct the petitioner to approach the Civil Court of

competent jurisdiction for redressal of his grievance. The petitioner may also seek for interim relief by invoking jurisdiction under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

28th April, 2026
Madhav/-
Uploaded on:09/05/2026