IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
L.P.A. No. 109 of 2026

Anshu Kumari, aged about 27 years, D/o. Sikandar Kumar Singh, R/o. Birsa Medical Hall, Dam Site, Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S.- Dhurwa, Dist.- Ranchi. … … Appellant

-Versus –

1. The Vice-Chancellor, Ranchi University, Ranchi, having its office near Shaheed Chowk, P.O.- G.P.O., P.S.- Kotwali, Dist.- Ranchi.
2. The Registrar, Ranchi University, Ranchi, having its office near Shaheed Chowk, P.O.- G.P.O., P.S.- Kotwali, Dist.- Ranchi.
3. The Deputy Registrar-II, Ranchi University, Ranchi, having its office near Shaheed Chowk, P.O.- G.P.O., P.S.- Kotwali, Dist.- Ranchi.
4. The Principal, Aditya Prakash Jalan Teachers Training College, Kudlum, Balalong, Nagri, P.O. & P.S.- Nagri, Dist.- Ranchi.

. … Respondents

CORAM: - Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Pradeep Kumar Srivastava

For the Appellant : Mr. Peeyush Krishna Choudhary, Advocate
: Mr. Amrit Anunay, Advocate
For the Resp. Nos. 1-3 : Mr. Amritanshu Singh, Advocate

Order No. 02/Dated: 28th April, 2026

I.A. No. 15159 of 2025

Heard Mr. Peeyush Krishna Choudhary, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Amritanshu Singh, learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 1-3.

2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for condoning the delay of 106 days in filing this appeal.

3. Having been satisfied with the reasons assigned in the instant application, the same is allowed and the delay of 106 days in filing the appeal is hereby condoned.

4. I.A. No. 15159 of 2025 stands disposed of.

L.P.A. No. 109 of 2026

5. This appeal is directed against the order dated 08.05.2024

passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.(C). No. 7197/2019, whereby and whereunder, the prayer of the writ petitioner directing upon the respondents to take her back in the college in order to complete her B.Ed. course has been rejected.

6. Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that it is the case of respondents that she had not secured qualifying marks in the Graduation examination and despite the said fact, she was given admission in B.Ed. course and after completing one year of B.Ed. course, she was ousted. Learned counsel submits that the aforesaid act of the college has to be appropriately compensated by the college as well as the university, which fact has not been properly appreciated by the learned Single Judge.

7. Learned counsel for the Ranchi University has submitted that since her admission itself was against the norms, the appellant could not have been allowed admission in B.Ed. course.

8. It appears that the appellant was enrolled in Aditya Prakash Jalan Teachers Training College, Kudlum, Balalong, Nagri, in B.Ed. course despite the fact that she had secured less than the qualifying marks of 50% as she had secured only 48.5% marks in graduation. After completion of one year in the said course, the appellant has been ousted from the college and it is her grievance that since she was admitted in the college, she should have been permitted to complete her B.Ed. study. Since, it appears that her initial admission itself was against the norms as she did not secure the required marks for being enrolled in B.Ed. course, the appellant does not have a justifiable legal right to continue in the said B.Ed. course. Merely

because she has completed one year B.Ed. course in the said college, the same cannot be a ground in allowing her to complete the B.Ed. course as the basic foundation for the enrolment of the appellant was itself flawed.

9. The learned Single Judge has considered the each aspects of the matter and has given liberty to the appellant to make a representation before the respondent no. 4 for refund of the fees deposited by her.

10. We do not find any reasons to interfere in the impugned order dated 08.05.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.(C). No. 7197/2019 and, consequently, we dismiss this appeal.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

28th April 2026
Rahul/
Uploaded on 05/05/2026