IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(C) No.7288 of 2026

Dev Narayan Paswan, aged about 38 years, son of Kamdeo Paswan, resident of Paswan Niwas, Bela Bagan, Besides Lord Shiva Hotel, Deoghar Nagar Nigam, P.O.-Deoghar, P.S.-Deoghar (T), District-Deoghar. …. Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate-cum-District Rent Controller, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S.-Deoghar, District-Deoghar.
3. The S.D.O.-cum-Rent Controller Officer, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S.-Deoghar, District-Deoghar.
4. The District Sub-Registrar, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S.-Deoghar, District-Deoghar.
5. The Commissioner-cum-Administrator, Deoghar, Municipal Corporation, Deoghar P.O. & P.S.-Deoghar, District-Deoghar.
6. Jitesh Rajpal, son of Late Mohanlal Rajpal, resident of Castairs Town, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S.-Deoghar, District-Deoghar.
7. Sanjay Kumar Kunjilwar, son of Markandey Kunjilwar, resident of Near Bananchal Gramin Bank, Geeta Devi, DAV Public School Road, Castairs Town, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S.-Deoghar, District-Deoghar.
8. Pradeep Kumar Thakur, son of Late Sonamani Thakur, resident of Dumka Road, Mandir More, Deoghar, P.O. & P.S.-Deoghar, District-Deoghar.
9. Hirak Kumar Mitra son of late Dhirendra Nath Mitra resident of resident of 7A, Kriti Mitra Lane, P.O.-Shyam Bazar, P.S.-Shyampukar, District- Kolkata
10. Chandan Kumar Mitra son of late Mrigendra Nath Mitra resident of BG/2, Prantika Apartment, Reverse meet road, P.O.- Sonari, District - Jamshedpur
11. Arunava Mitra son of late Alok Kumar Mitra, resident of 7A, Kriti Mitra Lane, P.O.- Shyam Bazar, P.S.-Shyampukar, District - Kolkata.

… Respondent(s)

CORAM: SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Niranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, AC to GP-I
For R.5 : Mr. Vijay Shanker Jha, Advocate

2/14.09.2026 It has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that on 12th September 2026 itself, the Respondents evicted the petitioner from the property in question.

2. The petitioner had challenged the orders passed by the

Controller and the Appellate Authority, whereby the order has been passed to evict the petitioner from the premises in question. The petitioner is also claiming title over the property in question and one of the objectors has already filed a title suit praying for declaration of Right, Title and Interest, in which, the petitioner was noticed and he has appeared. This incident of evicting the petitioner has happened during the pendency of the Title Suit.

3. Be that as it may, since the petitioner has already been evicted from the premises in question, no relief can be granted to this petitioner in this writ petition. However, since the petitioner is claiming Title over the property in question, it is open to the petitioner to file a Title Suit independently claiming his Right, Title and Interest and since he has already been evicted, he can also pray for restoration of his possession.

4. With the aforesaid observation, this writ petition stands disposed of.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

14.09.2026
R.Kumar