IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1370 of 2026

.....

Aman Singh @ Aman Kumar Singh, aged about 20 years, S/o Sri Akhlesh Kumar Singh, R/o village- Lidhki Pashchim, P.O.- Katual, P.S.- Chainpur, District- Palamu, Jharkhand ---- --- **Appellant(s)**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand --- --- **Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAM SHARMA

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey, Advocate
: Mr. Kaushlesh Dubey, Advocate
: Mr. Sanjay Kr. Rana, Advocate
For the Resp.-State : Mr. Shailesh Kumar Sinha, A.P.P.

.....

02/28.10.2026

Heard Manoj Kr. Choubey, learned counsel for the appellant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. This appeal is directed against the order dated 31.08.2026 passed in Misc. Cr. Application No. 1984/2026 arising out of Airport P.S. Case No.12/2026 by the learned Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, whereby and whereunder, the prayer for bail of the appellant has been rejected.

3. The prosecution case arises out of the written report of Raj Kumar Gope in which it has been alleged that on 07-03-2026 at around 08:25PM, he was present in Tito's Restaurant of which the informant is the owner when a person wearing a helmet and having a pistol in his possession shot at the manager of the informant, Chotu Yadav who was present in the counter but he bent down as a result of which no bodily injury was suffered by Chotu Yadav. It has been alleged that one of the staffs of the informant, namely, Manish Gope was shot at and the bullet struck his abdomen as a result of which he fell down. The said miscreant after firing several rounds speedily came outside the restaurant and fled away on a motorcycle which was kept in a starting condition by one of his accomplices. The informant and others took the injured Manish Gope to Raj Hospital where in course of treatment, he died. It has been alleged that after the incident there was a continuous flow of audio

messages sent by the gangster Prince Khan on the mobile of Chotu Yadav and the son of Pushkar Mahto demanding extortion money.

4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant has been implicated on the confession of the Anuj Mahto who had also taken the name of one Kaushal Kumar Pandey, who has been subsequently granted bail in B.A. No.4555 of 2026. Learned counsel has also submitted that some of the other co-accused persons namely Rana Rahul Kumar Singh and Md. Shadab Alam @ Doctor have been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Appeal (D.B.) No.799 of 2026 and Cr. Appeal (D.B.) No.940 of 2026. Learned counsel adds that the appellant is in custody since 23.03.2026.

5. It appears that the name of the appellant does not figure in the First Information Report and his implication seems to be only on the confession of Anuj Mahto. As noted above Kaushal Kumar Pandey whose name also transpired on the confession of Anuj Mahto has been granted bail in B.A. No.4555 of 2026. There also does not appear to be any recovery from the possession of the appellant and as submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant he has not been put on Test Identification Parade.

6. On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we while setting aside the order dated 31.08.2026 passed in Misc. Cr. Application No. 1984/2026 arising out of Airport P.S. Case No.12/2026 by the learned Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi, direct that the appellant be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of by the learned Judicial Commissioner, Ranchi in connection with Airport P.S. Case No.12/2026.

7. This appeal is **allowed**.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Ram Sharma, J)

Dated:28.10.2026
Jay/-