IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 7681 of 2026

Md. Aslam, S/o Aziz Ansari, R/o Village-Parta Toli, PO & PS-Kanke, District-Ranchi ... … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi
3. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Ranchi
4. The Circle Officer, Kanke, Ranchi
5. The Circle Inspector, Kanke, Ranchi

… … Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dilip Kumar Chakraverty, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Anish Kumar Mishra, AC to Sr. SC-I

Order No. 02 **Dated: 01.10.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the respondent no. 4 – the Circle Officer, Kanke, Ranchi to make correction in the online continuous khatiyan of the land appertaining to Khata No. 231, Plot No. 325H measuring an area of 73 decimals of Mouza-Kanke, Thana No. 156, District-Ranchi as, according to the petitioner, the plot number and area on the online entry are missing.

2. Mr. D.K. Chakraverty, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner's grandmother - Most. Galiya had purchased the aforesaid land from the then Zamindar, Shri Babu Tara Prasanna Ghosh in the year 1954. After purchase of the said land, she got the same mutated and the rent receipts were also issued in her name on payment of rent by the then Zamindar in the year 1954, 1955 and 1956. Thereafter, the petitioner and their ancestors have been continuously paying rent to the government.

3. It is further submitted that in the khatiyan, the name of the

petitioner's grandmother and the complete land description are clearly mentioned. Upon opening the Jharbhoomi portal, the petitioner discovered that the land details, particularly the plot number and area are missing which would be evident from the continuous khatiyan dated 12.04.2025 issued by the respondent no. 4. The petitioner represented the respondent no. 4 seeking correction in the land description on the online portal, however, no concrete step towards the same was taken, which compelled him to prefer the present writ petition.

4. Mr. Anish Kumar Mishra, learned AC to Sr. SC-I appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that if the petitioner makes a fresh application before the respondent no. 4 on the present issue, an appropriate step in accordance with law will be taken within a timeframe.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of prayer made in the writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the petitioner is given liberty to prefer fresh application on the present issue before the respondent no. 4. On receipt of the said application, the respondent no. 4, after verifying the relevant records and on getting an enquiry conducted, if so needed, shall take an appropriate step in accordance with law within eight weeks from the date of filing of the application.

6. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with aforesaid liberty and direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

October 01, 2026
Manish
Uploaded on 05.10.2026