IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 8022 of 2026

Devki Devi, W/o Devicharan Prasad, R/o Village-Ara, PO-Ganeshpur, PS-Balumath, District-Latehar, At present R/o Hesalaung, Nawadih, Hesalaung, PO & PS-Nawadih, District-Ranchi

... … Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Latehar
3. The Additional Collector, Latehar
4. The Circle Officer, Balumath, District-Latehar
5. Central Coalfields Limited, through its C.M.D, Darbhanga House, Ranchi
6. General Manager, Magadh Sanghamitra Area, CCL, Village-Kundi, PO-Saradhu, PS-Tandwa, District-Chatra

... … Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent-State : Mr. Shubham Mishra, AC to SC (Mines)-II
For the Respondent-CCL : Dr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Advocate
Mr. Sharon Toppo, Advocate

Order No. 02 **Dated: 26.10.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the concerned respondent i.e., the respondent no. 4 – the Circle Officer, Balumath, District-Latehar to forthwith send the petitioner's Land Verification Report (Bhu Satyapan) to the concerned authority of the Central Coalfields Limited as, according to the petitioner, in absence of the land verification report, she is not getting her share of compensation for the acquisition of the land appertaining to Khata No. 175, Plot No. 343 measuring an area of 3.95 acres, Plot No. 431 measuring an area of 0.70 acre and Plot No. 433 measuring an area of 0.40 acre (Total 5.05 acres) of Village-Ara, PS-Balumath, District-Latehar.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the

materials available on record.

3. It appears that the respondent-CCL vide letter dated 24.12.2025 issued by the Staff Officer/Establishment Officer (Land and Revenue), Magadh Sanghamitra Area, CCL requested the respondent no. 4 to make physical verification of the land in question and to submit the land verification report for taking further step with respect to acquisition of the land. The said letter also mentions the name of the petitioner and others as raiyats of the land.

4. According to the petitioner, the respondent no. 4 did not submit the land verification report to the concerned authority of the respondent-CCL. The petitioner also made a representation (Annxure-5 to the writ petition) to the respondent no. 2 – the Deputy Commissioner, Latehar seeking direction upon the respondent no. 4 to submit the land verification report to the concerned authority of the respondent-CCL. It has been mentioned in the said representation that the respondent no. 4 returned the application in original to the concerned authority of the respondent-CCL on 05.02.2026. Under the said circumstance, the petitioner has preferred the present writ petition.

5. Mr. Shubham Mishra, learned AC to SC (Mines)-II appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, submits that if the land verification report has not yet been submitted by the respondent no. 4, the said respondent will take the necessary steps to submit the same to the concerned authority of the

respondent-CCL without further delay.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view that, according to the petitioner, the land verification report is sought by the respondent-CCL has not been submitted by the respondent no. 4, the said respondent is directed to make physical verification of the land as requested vide letter dated 24.12.2025 and to submit the land verification report to the concerned authority of the respondent-CCL within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

7. The writ petition is disposed of with aforesaid direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

October 26, 2026
Manish

Uploaded on 27.10.2026