[2026:JHHC:28955]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.2996 of 2026

Jamiruddin Ansari, aged about 42 years, son of Harun Rashid @ Harun Rasid, Resident of village- Dharpur, P.O. Manjhladih, P.S. Narayanpur, District- Jamtara (Jharkhand) … Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ⋯ Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sudhanshu Kr. Deo, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Fahad Allam, Addl. P. P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-25-09-2026

Heard the parties.

This criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer for restoration of B.A. No.6794 of 2026 to its original file which stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 11.08.2026 passed by this Court.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that B.A. No.6794 of 2026 stood dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 11.08.2026 passed by this Court to file a supplementary affidavit annexing therewith the certified copy of the latest order sheet of the trial court in each of the cases in which the petitioner is involved apart from that case and also showing therein that the petitioner has intimated the learned Special Judge, Cyber Crime Cases, Jamtara in connection with Jamtara Cyber P.S. Case No. 16 of 2022 as well as all the courts concerned in all other cases in which the petitioner is involved apart from that case that the petitioner has been in custody in connection with that case. It is next submitted that due to inadvertence on the part of the advocate's clerk, the said order could not be communicated to the Pairvikar of the petitioner within the stipulated time, hence, the said affidavit could not be filed within the stipulated time which resulted in

dismissal of the said B.A. No.6794 of 2026 for non-compliance of the said peremptory order dated 11.08.2026 passed by this Court. It is next submitted that non-compliance of the said peremptory order dated 11.08.2026 was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioner has very good grounds to agitate in the said B.A. No.6794 of 2026 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioner will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the B.A. No.6794 of 2026 be restored to its original file.

Learned counsel appearing for the State has not raised any serious objection.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, B.A. No.6794 of 2026 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list B.A. No.6794 of 2026 before the concerned Bench after a week.

This criminal miscellaneous petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated-25.09.2026-Animesh/