IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1348 of 2026

Rabindra Yadav, aged about 36 years, S/o late Chandra Deo Yadav, R/o village- Nawa, P.O. & P.S.- Ramgarh, District- Palamu, Jharkhand
---- --- **Appellant(s)**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand --- --- **Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAM SHARMA

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. Mohit Prakash, Advocate
For the Resp.-State : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra, A.P.P.

02/29.10.2026

1. Heard Mr. Mohit Prakash, learned counsel for the appellant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Office has pointed out that this appeal has been filed beyond the period of 90 days, as envisaged under section 21 (5) of the N. I. A. Act. However, it has been pointed out by the learned counsel for the appellant that the appeal was filed on the 91st day and in fact, the free copy was made available on 10.06.2026 of the order dated 09.06.2026 and if the same is taken into consideration, it would transpire that the present appeal has been filed within time.

3. On the consideration of the said fact, this appeal appears to have been filed within time.

4. This appeal is directed against the order dated 09.06.2026 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Lohardaga in connection Misc. Cr. Application No. 245 of 2026 arising out of Senha P.S. Case No.117 of 2025, whereby and whereunder, the prayer for bail of the appellant has been rejected.

5. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant has been implicated on the confessional statement of co-accused, Shyam Lal Yadav and Nashim Ansari and from the house of Shyam Lal Yadav, incriminating articles were recovered. Learned

counsel submits that there is no recovery so far as the present appellant is concerned and co-accused, Nasim Ansari has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Appeal (DB) No.817 of 2026. Learned counsel adds that the appellant is in custody since 27.01.2026.

6. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and has submitted that the appellant has got several criminal antecedents.

7. However, considering the manner of implication of the appellant and the fact that the one of the co-accused has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, as noted above, we while setting aside the order dated 09.06.2026 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Lohardaga in connection Misc. Cr. Application No. 245 of 2026 arising out of Senha P.S. Case No.117 of 2025, direct that the appellant be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of by the learned Sessions Judge, Lohardaga in connection with S. T. Case No.45 of 2026 arising out of Senha P.S. Case No.117 of 2025, *subject to the condition that the appellant shall remain physically present before the learned trial court on each and every date till the conclusion of the trial, unless prevented by sufficient cause.*

8. This appeal is **allowed**.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Ram Sharma, J)

Dated:29.10.2026
Jay/-