IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.7575 of 2026

Malay Sinha, son of Late Madan Mohan Sinha @ Singh, resident of Nirsa, P.O. & P.S. Nirsa, District-Dhanbad.

..... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Dhanbad.
3. The Circle Officer, Dhanbad.

..... Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Yogesh Modi, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Piyush Anand, A.C. to S.C.-VI

Order No.03 **Date: 30.09.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the respondent no.3 to make on-line entry of the lands appertaining to Khesra Nos.83 and 47, Khata No.158, situated at Mouza-Bhamal, Anchal-Nirsa, Thana No.82, District-Dhanbad, measuring an area of 10 decimals and 0.08 decimal respectively in favour of the petitioner and his brother-Manoj Kumar Sinha.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and his brother-Manoj Kumar Sinha have the lawful title and possession of the aforesaid lands as they have inherited the same from their mother, namely, Nirmala Sinha, who had purchased the same vide registered sale deed nos.14603 dated 3rd November, 1961 and 7210 dated 8th June, 1983, respectively.

3. It is further submitted that there were certain discrepancies with respect to the said lands including the name of the tenant.

On account of the said discrepancies, the petitioner and his brother-Manoj Kumar Sinha filed Case No.791 of 2006 under Section 87 of the Chhota Nagpur Tenancy Act, 1908. The said case was allowed in their favour vide order dated 2nd November, 2007. Thereafter, on the basis of the said order, entries relating to the said lands were made in the physical government records.

4. The grievance of the petitioner is that despite several representations made to the respondent no.3, the online entry of the said lands in the Register-II has not yet been made in favour of the petitioner and his brother. Under the said compelling circumstance, the petitioner has preferred the present writ petition.

5. Mr. Piyush Anand, learned A.C. to S.C.-VI, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that the if the petitioner and his brother-Manoj Kumar Sinha prefer a fresh representation on the present issue before the respondent no.3, an appropriate step will be taken by the said respondent within a stipulated time frame.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of the prayer made in the present writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the petitioner and his brother-Manoj Kumar Sinha are given liberty to prefer a fresh representation on the present issue before the respondent no.3. On receipt of the said representation, the said respondent after verifying the relevant records and on

providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, his brother/their representatives, shall take appropriate step in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of filing of the representation.

7. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with aforesaid liberty and direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

Rohit/
30.09.2026
Uploaded on 30.09.2026