IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S). No. 7603 of 2026

Shivrani Kumari Singh, aged 40 years, W/o Sri Kumar Madhav, at present resident of Near Pagal Baba Ashram, Jasidih, P.O. and P.S.- Jasidih, District-Deoghar(Jharkhand) **.....Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi, Project Building, P.O. and P.S. Dhurwa, District- Ranchi (Jharkhand).
2. The Principal Secretary, Health, Medical Education and Family Welfare Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi, Project Building, P.O & P.S- Dhurwa, District Ranchi (Jharkhand)
3. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi, Project Building, P.O & P.S- Dhurwa, District Ranchi (Jharkhand)
4. The Director-in-Chief, Health Services, Health, Medical Education and Family Welfare Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi, Project Building, P.O & P.S- Dhurwa, District Ranchi (Jharkhand)
5. The Civil Surgeon-cum- Chief Medical Officer, Deoghar, P.O., P.S. and District-Deoghar(Jharkhand)
6. The Incharge Medical Officer, Blood Centre, Old Sadar Hospital, Deoghar, P.O., P.S. and District-Deoghar(Jharkhand) **....Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Anjani Kr. Verma, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Varun Prabhakar, Advocate

02/ Dated: 23.09.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner(s) praying therein for the following reliefs:

> *i) For direction to the respondents for consideration of the case of the petitioner for regularization of her services with all consequential benefits on the vacant post of Computer Operator on which the petitioner is uninterruptedly working since 28.6.2013 i.e. since last more than 13 years, following the settled principles of law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in several cases such as Jaggo Vrs. Union of India reported in 2024 SCC OnLine SC 3826, Shripal and Another Vrs. Nagar Nigam, Ghaziabad reported in 2025 SCC OnLine SC 121, Dharam Singh and*

Others Vrs. State of U.P. and Another reported in 2025 SCC OnLine 1735 and Bhola Nath Vrs. State of Jharkhand and others reported in 2026 SCC OnLine 129 and recently in case of Sukhendu Bhattacharjee and others Vrs. The State of Assam and others reported in 2026 INSC 523.

(ii) For further direction to the Respondents till regularization of the services of the petitioners, to provide minimum scale of pay/wages to the petitioner following the principles of the equal pay for equal work, as is being to other regular Computer Operator (Class-III), as the petitioner is performing the duty uninterruptedly on fixed monthly wages since last more than 13 years, but the petitioner has been denied even minimum scale of pay/wages, as is being paid to the regular Computer Operator in other Govt. offices of Jharkhand State Government, in most discriminatory manner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; as such, he submits that this case may be disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner to file fresh representation before the concerned respondent for redressal of her grievance.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such the petitioner(s) may approach the 4th respondent for redressal of her grievance.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and looking to the prayer made in the instant writ application, the same is hereby disposed of by directing the petitioner to approach the 4th respondent by filing a fresh representation along with all relevant documents. The moment the 4th respondent receives the representation of petitioner then he will verify the record of the case and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of such representation.

6. It goes without saying that if the decision is taken in favour of the petitioner, necessary consequential order be issued to the petitioner within a further period of 2 weeks.

7. It is made clear that if the petitioner is still working, she shall not be disengaged, and shall receive her regular remuneration what she is getting today.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

23.09.2026

Abha/-