IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No. 968 of 2026
------

1. Shambhu Dangi, aged about 65 years, Son of Late Basudeo Mahto
2. Vijay Dangi, aged about 52 years, Son of Late Basudeo Mahto
3. Kiran Devi, aged about 47 years, wife of Vijay Dangi,
4. Rakesh Dangi @ Rakesh Kumar Dangi, aged about 27 years, Son of Vijay Dangi
5. Munilal Dangi, aged about 63 years, Son of Late Basudeo Mahto
6. Upendra Dangi @ Upendra Kumar Dangi, aged about 29 years Son of Munilal Dangi
7. Chhotu Dangi @ Akhilesh Dangi, aged about 30 years, son of Shambhu Dangi,
8. Dilip Dangi @ Dilip Prasad Dangi, aged about 27 years, Son of Vijay Dangi @ Vijay Prasad Dangi
9. Madhu Devi @ Madhu Kumari, aged about 30 years, Wife of Upendra Kumar
10. Lilawati Devi, aged about 61 years, wife of Shambhu Dangi
11. Sumiti Kumari, aged about 29 years, Wife of Dilip Prasad Dangi
12. Radha Devi @ Radhwa Devi, aged about 49 years, Wife of Munilal Dangi
13. Bipin Dangi @ Bipin Kumar, aged about 32 years, son of Shambhu Dangi

All resident of village- Tikar, P.O. Tikar & P.S. Sadar, Dist- Chatra

.... …. Appellant(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Gobind Paswan, aged about 54 years, S/o Rupan Paswan Res. Of vill. Obra, P.O. Tikar and P.S.- Chatra, Dist. Chatra.

.... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. Rajesh Kr. Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra, A.P.P.

Order No.02/Dated : 01.10.2026

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. The present appeal has been filed against the impugned order dated 13.08.2026 in A.B.P. No. 1182 of 2026 passed by the learned Special Judge (SC/ST Act), Chatra in connection with Chatra (SC/ST) P.S. Case No. 08 of 2026, registered for the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 329(3), 115(2), 351(2) and 352 of BNS, 2023 and under Sections 3(1)(f), 3(1)(g), 3(1)(r) and 3(1)(s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act. The case is now pending in the court of learned Special Judge, (SC/ST Act), Chatra.
3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the appellants seeks

permission to withdraw the present criminal appeal with a liberty to file fresh one with better particulars.

4. Learned counsels for the other sides have raised no objection.
5. Permission is accorded.
6. Accordingly, the present criminal appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(**Rajesh Kumar, J.**)

01.10.2026
Vedanti/
Uploaded on 05.10.2026