IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.8039 of 2026

M/s. Arya Electricals, a company registered under the Companies Act, having its office at Arya Niwas, Gandhi Nagar, Near Kali Mandir, P.O. & P.S. Bank More and District-Dhanbad, Jharkhand through its Proprietor, Prem Prakash Singh, son of Arya Kumar Gandhi, resident of Arya Niwas, Gandhi Nagar, Near Kali Mandir, P.O. & P.S. Bank More and District-Dhanbad.

..... Petitioner.

-Versus-

1. Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, having its office at Engineering Building, H.E.C., Dhurwa, P.O.-Dhurwa, P.S.-Dhurwa and District-Ranchi.
2. The Managing Director, Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Engineering Building, H.E.C., Dhurwa, Ranchi.
3. The General Manager (P&FM), Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Engineering Building, H.E.C., Dhurwa, Ranchi.
4. The General Manager-cum-Chief Engineer, Electric Supply Area, JBVNL, Combined Building Campus, Kasturba Nagar, P.O. K.G. Ashram, P.S. Saraidhela, Dhanbad.
5. The Electrical Superintending Engineer, Electric Supply Circle, JBVNL, Combined Building Campus, Kasturba Nagar, Saraidhela, Dhanbad.
6. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Nirsa, JBVNL, Nirsa, Dhanbad.
7. The Assistant Electrical Enginner, Electric Supply Sub-Division, Nirsa-I, JBVNL, Nirsa, Dhanbad.

..... Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mrs. Aprajita Bhardwaj, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shivam Kumar, A.C. to A.G.

Order No.02 **Date: 26.10.2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is allowed to correct the description of the respondent no.3 as General Manager (P& FM), Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Engineering Building, H.E.C., Dhurwa, Ranchi.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the concerned respondent i.e., respondent no. 3 to forthwith release the admitted and legitimate contractual dues of the petitioner amounting to Rs. 12 lakhs in respect of Work Order No. 243/ESC/Dhanbad dated 29th January, 2019, issued to the petitioner for providing 400 BPL service connections under the Rural Electrification State Plan in Nirsa Block, District Dhanbad. According to the petitioner, the said work has been duly completed by it and the same has also been verified/accepted by the respondent authorities.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in the course of argument, invites attention of the Court to letter no. 1559 dated 26th August, 2022 (Annexure-15 to the writ petition), whereby respondent no. 4 requested respondent no. 3 to diversify funds to the extent of Rs. 12 lakhs so as to make payment of the said amount to the petitioner.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that, despite the aforesaid request made by respondent no. 4, respondent no. 3 has not taken the necessary steps, despite the fact that the field authorities have acknowledged satisfactory execution of the work by the petitioner.

5. It is further submitted that several internal communications were exchanged in this regard, however, no effective steps were taken by the respondent authorities to release the admitted dues payable to the petitioner. Under the said

compelling circumstances, the petitioner has preferred the present writ petition.

6. Mr. Shivam Kumar, learned A.C. to the A.G., appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that the aforesaid claim of the petitioner is required to be examined by respondent no. 3 and the required funds will be released so as to make payment to the petitioner.

7. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of the prayer made in the present writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the respondent no.3 is directed to take up the present matter and on providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner's representative, if it is found that the concerned work has been duly executed by the petitioner, to take an appropriate decision/step for releasing/diverting the fund with respect to payment of admitted amount with respect to the work in question to the petitioner within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

8. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with aforesaid direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

***Rohit*/**
26.10.2026
Uploaded on 27.10.2026