IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (C) No. 7543 of 2026

---

1. Abdul, s/o Late Mohiuddin Miyan
2. Aftab Alam, s/o Ilayas Ansari
   All are resident of Village-Manjhiladih, P.O. & P.S.-Markacho, District-Koderma

..... Petitioners

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Secretary, Department of Revenue, Registration & Land Reforms, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Koderma
4. The Circle Officer, Markacho, Koderma

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioners : Mr. Sarvendra Kumar, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Shivam Singh, A.C. to S.C.-II

Order No.03 **Date: 28.09.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the respondents, particularly the respondent No. 4, to dispose of the representation dated 13.05.2026, which was duly received by the office of the said respondent requesting inter-alia to issue online rent receipts for the lands appertaining to Khata No. 28, Plot No. 02, measuring an area of 2 acres, Khata No. 28, Plot No. 176, measuring an area of 2 acres and Khata No. 28, Plot No. 176, measuring an area of 1 acre, aggregating to a total area of 5 acres.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the aforesaid lands are the ancestral lands of the petitioners and at present, the name of Mohiuddin Miyan is being shown in the revenue record. Mohiuddin Miyan is the father of the petitioner No. 1 and the grandfather of the

petitioner No. 2. It is further submitted that the rent receipts for the said lands were issued till 2009-10. However, after that despite several applications made by the petitioners, the rent receipts have not been issued. Though a representation was preferred before the respondent No. 4 on behalf of the petitioners on 13.05.2026 to issue rent receipts for the lands in question, however, the same was not responded, which compelled the petitioners to prefer the present writ petition.

3. Mr. Shivam Singh, learned A.C. to S.C.-II appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that the aforesaid claim of the petitioners is required to be factually examined by the competent authority. Hence, if the petitioners prefer a fresh representation on the present issue before the respondent No. 4, an appropriate decision will be taken in accordance with law by the said respondent within a time frame.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of prayer made by the petitioners in the present writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the petitioners are given liberty to prefer a fresh representation for issuance of rent receipts/online rent receipts for the lands in question before the respondent No. 4-Circle Officer, Markacho, Koderma. On receipt of the said representation, the respondent no.4, after verifying the relevant records and on providing

opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and other concerned parties, shall take an appropriate informed decision within eight weeks from the date of filing of the said representation.

5. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with aforesaid liberty and direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

28.09.2026
Vikas/
Uploaded on 29.09.2026