IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P. No. 1029 of 2026

….

1. Punam, aged about 63 years, wife of Late Satya Brata Singh,
2. Nitin Kumar Rishu, aged about 39 years, son of Late Satya Brata Singh,
3. Ankit Singh, aged about 37 years, son of Late Satya Brata Singh,

All being resident of D-58, MIG, Harmu Housing Colony, Harmu, P.O. & P.S. – Doranda, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand)

……Petitioners

Versus

1. Jharkhand State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, a unit of Government of Jharkhand, having its head office at Jharkhand State Food Corporation Bhawan, Kadru, P.O. & P.S. – Doranda, District-Ranchi; through its Managing Director;
2. Managing Director, Jharkhand State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Jharkhand State Food Corporation Bhawan, Kadru, P.O. & P.S. – Doranda, District-Ranchi; through its Managing Director;
3. District Manager, Jharkhand State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, Room No.504, Block-B, Collectorate Building, P.O.-G.P.O, P.S. – Kotwali, District-Ranchi,
4. Bihar State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, a unit of Government of Bihar, Khadya Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path No.2, R-Block, P.O.-G.P.O, P.S.- Sachiwalay, District-Patna (Bihar); through its Managing Director;
5. Managing Director, Bihar State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, a unit of Government of Bihar, Khadya Bhawan, Daroga Rai Path No.2, R-Block, P.O.-G.P.O, P.S.- Sachiwalay, District-Patna (Bihar);

……Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Rohit Ranjan Sinha, Advocate
	: Ms. Rashika Bajaj, Advocate
	: Ms. Muskan Kumari, Advocate
For the O.P. – JSFC	: Mr. Krishna Murari, Advocate
	: Mr. Raj Vardhan, Advocate
	: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Pathak, Advocate
For the O.P. No.4	: Mr. Jitendra S. Singh, Sr. Advocate
	: Ms. Pragati Prasad, Advocate

……

Order No.02/06th November, 2026

1. This C.M.P has been filed on behalf of the petitioners for restoration of W.P.(S) No. 4894 of 2019 to its original file, which stood rejected on 19.08.2024 due to non-compliance of order dated 29.07.2024 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench (Justice Mr. Ambuj Nath as then his Lordship was) of this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner's advocate could not file the rejoinder for want of the availability of the petitioner no.1 in Ranchi, who used to pursue the writ petition after the death of her husband. It is submitted that the petitioner no.1 used to stay with her sons either in Mumbai or in Hyderabad. However, the petitioner no.1 returned to Ranchi from her children's home then she tried to have an update about the writ petitions and then she learnt that the writ petition bearing W.P.(S) No.4894 of 2019, stood dismissed for non-compliance of the order dated 29.07.2024 on 19.08.2024 and hence the W.P.(S) No. 4894 of 2019 may be restored to its original file.

3. Learned counsel for the opposite parties have raised no objection.

4. It also appears that the Co-ordinate Bench (Justice Mr. Ambuj Nath as then His Lordship was) of this Court had directed the learned counsel for the petitioner to file rejoinder to the counter

affidavit, which was to be filed by the petitioner no.1, who was not present in Ranchi.

5. Having heard learned counsels for the parties and in view of the averments made in paragraph nos. 4 to 7 and also considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and considering the facts that the original writ petitioner has died on 22.04.2021 due to COVID-19 pandemic. The present C.M.P No.1029 of 2026 is allowed and W.P.(S) No. 4894 of 2019 is restored to its original file.

6. Thus, C.M.P No.1029 of 2026, is allowed and stands disposed of.

7. Office is directed to list this W.P.(S) No. 4894 of 2019 before the appropriate Bench as per roster forthwith.

(Sanjay Prasad, J.)

Dated :- 06th November, 2026
Nishant/-