IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
**W.P.(C) No.5057 of 2019**
**------**

1. Chhotu Ram.
2. a. Ayodhya Paswan
   b. Puran Paswan
   c. Kamleshwar Pasawan
   d. Shankar Paswan
   C/o late Mathura Ram
3. Dasrath Ram, son of Late Lato Ram.
   All resident of Village Lebra, P.O. & P.S. Birni, District Giridih.

**... ... Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribagh, P.O., P.S. & District Hazaribagh.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Giridih, P.O., P.S. & District Giridih.
4. The Additional Collector, Giridih, P.O., P.S. & District Giridih.
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Giridih, P.O., P.S. & District Giridih.
6. The Circle Officer, Birni, P.O. & P.S. Birni, District Giridih.
7. Ozir Mahto, son of Late Uttim Mahto.
8. Deglal Mahto, son of Late Uttim Mahto, both 6 and 7 resident of Village Lebra, P.O. & P.S. Birni, District Giridih.

**... ... Respondents**

**------**

**CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.**

**------**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Arvind Kr. Choudhary, Advocate

For the Respondent(s): Ms. Shalini Shahdeo, AC to SC (L & C)-I
Mr. Prashant Kr. Rai, Advocate
Mr. Lukesh Kumar, Advocate

**------**

**19/ 29.04.2026**

By filing this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for the following reliefs:-

> *"for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of order dated 21.05.2019 (Annexure-9) passed by the Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribagh in Mutation Revision Case No.44 of 2014 and for quashing of order dated 14.08.2014 (Annexure-8) passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Giridih in Mutation Misc. Appeal*

*No.27 of 2008, whereby the said authorities have set aside the earlier order dated 25.02.1997 passed in Memo No.321 by Deputy Commissioner, Giridih and thereby restored the order passed by the Deputy Collector Land Requisition, Giridih in Mutation Case No.05/84-85 directing to issue rent receipt in favour of the respondents with respect to khata no.36, plot nos.612, 723, 728, 793, 831 total area 2.77 acre as mentioned in schedule table no. 1 and further directed to issue rent receipt in favour of the petitioners with respect to khata no.36, plot nos.460, 467 total area 0.77 acre as mentioned in schedule table no.2.*

*And/Or*

*For issuance of an appropriate writ/ writs, order/ orders, direction/ direction for restoring the order vide memo no.321 dated 25.02.1997 passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Giridih in favour of the petitioners."*

**2.** Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners and learned counsel representing the respondents.

**3.** After hearing the parties, I find that there is serious disputed question of title involved in this case. The petitioners are claiming title over the land in question.

**4.** Thus, if the petitioners want establishment of their title, ownership and possession over the property in question, they should approach the Civil Court of competent jurisdiction. Once the petitioners approach the appropriate Civil Court, their case will be considered on merits, based on the documents and exhibits and not on the basis of the findings arrived at by the Revenue Authorities.

**5.** The creation of *Jamabandi* in favour of the private

respondents or mutation / acceptance of rent from the private respondents, cannot be treated to be a conclusive proof of title in favour of any of the parties.

**6.** Once the suit filed by the petitioners is decided, the Revenue Authorities will abide by the final decision of the said suit.

**7.** With the aforesaid observation, this writ petition stands **disposed of**.

**(ANANDA SEN, J.)**

29th April, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2

Uploaded on 12.05.2026