IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (S) No. 7378 of 2026

Paul Barla, aged about 82 years, son of John Barla, resident of G/2 Emanuel Enclave, Dhumsa Toli, East Church Road, P.O. GPO Ranchi, P.S. Kotwali, District Ranchi (Jharkhand) ....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Principal Secretary, Revenue, Registration and Reforms Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, P.O. and P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.
3. Inspector General of Registration, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, P.O. and P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.
4. Deputy Commissioner-cum-Registrar (Revenue), Ranchi, P.O. Ranchi, G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District Ranchi.
5. District Sub-Registrar and Dring Disbursing (Registration), Ranchi, District Ranchi, P.O. Ranchi G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District Ranchi.

....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. J.F. Toppo, G.A.-V
: Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, AC to GA-V

02/Dated: 17.09.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

> *" 1. That in the instant writ petition the petitioner prays for issuance of an appropriate writ/rule/order/direction in the nature of mandamus commanding upon the Respondents particularly Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to pay the benefit of revised pay from the Retrospective Effect from the July 2005 the petitioner who is retired from the Revenue Department from the post Deputy of Inspector General of Registration Department, and petitioner further prays for all arrears amount as pet Notification dated 27.07.2005 issued by the Registration Department, Government of Bihar with 12% interest."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; however, in spite of repeated representations the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter by passing a reasoned order and if the petitioner is found entitled, then respondent No. 5 within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and thereafter the respondent No.5 shall make payment of all consequential benefits in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations within a further period of 4 weeks. The petitioner shall serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

SEPTEMBER 17, 2026
Nandini/-
uploaded