IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.4039 of 2020
------

1. Kamdev Singh, aged about 63 years;
2. Chandra Shekhar Singh, aged about 62 years;
   Both sons of Late Prasanna Singh, resident of House No. 31, Near Rampur Anganbari, Post Ramudih, Police Station Devipur, District Deoghar
3. Anar Devya, aged about 54 years, widow of Late Bhabadev Singh, resident of House No. 31, Near Rampur Anganbari, Post Ramudih, Police Station Devipur, District Deoghar. **… … Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand;
2. The Deputy Commissioner-cum-District Magistrate, Deoghar officiating from D.C. Office, Deoghar, Post Deoghar, Police Station Deoghar, District Deoghar,
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Deoghar, Post Deoghar, Police Station Deoghar, District Deoghar,
4. Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority, Santhal Pargana Region, Deoghar through its Regional Deputy Director officiating from ASPIADA Bhawan, Dabur Gram, Post Dabur Gram, Police Station Dabur Gram, District Deoghar. **… … Respondents**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Vikash Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ajit Kumar, AC to GA-V
For Respondent No.4: Mr. R.C.P. Sah, Advocate
Mr. C.A. Bardhan, Advocate

10/ 03.09.2026 Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners and learned counsel representing the respondents.

2. It is the case of the petitioners that the land of the petitioners measuring 26 acres 35 Decimals have been acquired vide land acquisition proceeding pertaining to L.A. Case No. 6/2009-10 for Industrial Area at the cost of the Government but only 22 acres 54 Decimals have been handed over to AIMS, Deoghar and the rest 3 acres 81 Decimals of Khata No. 12 khesra No. 441 is being handed over to different businessmen for their business which is not in the interest of the Government but in the interest of the said private businessmen. The petitioners by filing this writ petition sought that part of acquisition of land be cancelled/quashed.

3. Learned counsel representing the respondent-State submits that after following process of law, the land in question has been acquired for Industrial Area and the petitioners had been paid the compensation for the same in the year 2011. The said acquired land was hand over to Industrial Department and further allotment has happened as per rules and policy of the

Industrial Department. Thus, he submits that this writ petition is devoid of merit, liable to be dismissed.

4. Learned counsel representing the respondent-JIADA submits that the Awards were made and compensation as per awards had already been paid to the awardees whose land have been acquired for industrial purposes. Out of 414.61 Acres of acquired land was transferred in favour of Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority, Santhal Pargana, Region, 169.10 Acres of land was transferred to AIIMS and 93.09 Acres of land transferred to Jharkhand Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation for establishment of Jharkhand Plastic Park Ltd. The remaining 152.42 Acres of land which was with JIADA, Santhal Pargana Region, online application as per Clause 6 (ii) (a) of JIADA, Regulation 2015, was invited through public notice published in newspapers, for allotment of land in Jharkhand Industrial Area Development Authority, Santhal Pargana, Region from the entrepreneurs for the establishment of industries, vide letter No. 358 dated 29/09/2018 and letter No. 46/Deoghar dated 14/02/2019. He also submits that there is no illegality in allotment of said land to the entrepreneurs for the establishment of industries.

5. After hearing the parties and going through the records, I find that the land in question was acquired vide L.A. Case No. 6/2009-10 for Industrial Area at the cost of the Government after following the process of law and the petitioners had been paid the compensation for the same in the year 2011. Petitioner accepted the compensation, never objected. 169.10 Acres of land was transferred to AIIMS and the remaining land which was with JIADA, Santhal Pargana Region were allotted the same to the entrepreneurs for the establishment of industries after following the procedure as per JIADA, Regulation 2015 and policy of the Industrial Department.

6. In view of the aforesaid I find no merit in this writ petition; accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

03rd September, 2026
Anu/-Cp2.

Uploaded on: 07/09/2026