IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 7377 of 2026

Abhishek Singh, aged about 38 years, Son of Late Baijnath Singh, Resident of Village- Dumari, P.O.-Baghra & P.S.-Samastipur, District-Samastipur, State-Bihar .....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Coal India Limited, through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, 10, Netaji Subhas Road, Newtown, Rajarhat, P.O.+ P.S.-Newton, Kolkata, West Bengal-700156.
3. The Chief Managing Director, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Koyla Bhawan, Koyla Nagar, P.O. + P.S.-Koyla Nagar, Dhanbad-826005, Jharkhand.
4. The General Manager, Sijua Area, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, P.O. + P.S.-Sijua, District-Dhanbad, Jharkhand.
5. The Area Manager (Personnel), Sijua Area, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Sijua, P.O. +P.S.-Sijua, District-Dhanbad, Jharkhand.
6. The Project Officer, Nichitpur Colliery, Sijua Area, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, P.O. + P .S.-Sijua, District Dhanbad, Jharkhand.
7. The Manager, Nichitpur Colliery, Sijua Area, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, P.O. + P.S. Sijua, District Dhanbad, Jharkhand.
8. The Manager (Personnel), Nichitpur Colliery, Sijua Area, P.O.+P.S. Sijua, Bharat Coking Coal Limited, District Dhanbad, Jharkhand.

.....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Aditya Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Resp. (BCCL) : Mr. Amit Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Resp. No.1 : Mr. Rakesh Kr. Roy, AC to GA-III

02/Dated:-17.09.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

> " *(a) In the nature of mandamus commanding upon the concerned respondents to forthwith provide compassionate appointment to the petitioner in lieu of employment of his father who was working at Nichitpur colliery, BCCL as night Guard and has died during the service on 26.12.1990.*
> *(b) Further to dispose off the representations preferred by the petitioner in respect of compassionate appointment as dependent in lieu of the employment of father of the petitioner who was*

working as security guard at the Nichitpur Colliery"

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for compassionate appointment, but due to the delay committed by the respondent officials, the compassionate appointment has not yet been extended to this petitioner, though the documents has already been processed, which is evident from Anenxure-7.

4. Accordingly, he may be granted liberty to approach the Respondent No. 5 who in turn may be directed to pass a final order on the issue of compassionate appointment.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

6. Having regard to the above, the instant application stands disposed of by directing the 5th respondent to consider this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations and also in the light of Annexure-7, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of ten weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner and if the order is passed in favour of the petitioner, consequential benefits shall be extended to the petitioner within a further period of two weeks. The petitioner shall serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the

concerned respondent for convenience.

7. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

SEPTEMBER 17, 2026
Nandini/-
Uploaded on-