IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 517 of 2012

Vijay Kumar Mallik, S/o late Inder Lal Mallik, Resident of 26, Engineers Enclave P.S. Pitampura P.O. Pitampura District New Delhi **… … Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through C.B.I**… … Respondent**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. Shekhar Sinha, Advocate
: Mr. Apurv, Advocate
: Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, Advocate
For the CBI : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
: Ms. Shivani Jaluka, AC to ASGI

03/**23.09.2026** Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. This Criminal Appeal (SJ) has been filed against the judgment of conviction dated 03.05.2012 and order of sentence dated 07.05.2012 passed in Case No.R.C.31(A)/1996 by the learned Special Judge-V, C.B.I. (A.H.D. Scam Cases), Ranchi. By the said judgment, the appellant was convicted for the offences punishable under Sections 120B read with Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 477 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 (2) read with (1) (c) & (d) of the P.C. Act.

The appellant was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 5 years and fine of Rs.10 lakhs under Section 420 IPC, R.I. for 5 years with fine of Rs.50 lacs under Section 467 IPC, RI for 4 years with fine of Rs. 10 lacs under Section 468, RI for 4 years with fine of Rs.10 lacs under Section 471 IPC, RI for 4 years with fine of Rs. 10 lacs under Section 477A IPC and R.I. of 4 years with fine of Rs.10 lacs under Section 120B IPC, with a total fine of Rs.1 Crore and in default, S.I

for 1 year. However, the learned court passed order that the sentences shall run concurrently.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant has expired and their legal heirs do not want to pursue the appeal, but the death certificate has been forwarded to the learned counsel for the appellant which has been placed on record along with the mention slip. He submits that accordingly this appeal has abated and the learned counsel for the appellant does not want to press the present appeal.

4. Learned counsel for the CBI has no objection so far as the aforesaid prayer of the appellant is concerned.

5. Considering the submissions, this appeal is treated as abated.

6. Pending interlocutory application, if any, is dismissed as not pressed.

7. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through Fax/email.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of order: 23.09.2026
Date of uploading: 29.09.2026
Saurav/