IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.823 of 2026

---

1. Wasim Akram, aged about 36 years, S/o Md. Zainul Haque @ Md. Jainul Haque, R/o Kaharpara, P.O. Sahibganj, P.S. Sahibganj, District-Sahibganj, Jharkhand
2. Musraf Tohid @ Musarraf Touhid, aged about 24 years, son of Md. Hasan @ Late Md. Hansanain, resident of Bindudham Road, Barhawra, P.O. Sahibganj, P.S. Sahibganj, District: Sahibganj, Jharkhand.
3. Lal Mohammad SK @ Lal Mohammad Shekh, aged about 33 years, son of Sohrab SK @ Sohrab Shekh, resident of Kaharpara, Barhawra, P.O. Sahibganj, P.S. Sahibganj, District: Sahibganj, Jharkhand.
4. Md. Mohsin Raja, aged about 30 years, son of Jaddi Ansari @ Md. Jadid Asri, resident of Habibpur, P.O. Sahibganj, P.S. Sahibganj, District: Sahibganj, Jharkhand.

…. …. Appellant(s)

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Majnu Ram, son of Birjhu Ram, resident of Gurha Kala, P.O. Rehla, P.S. Rehla, District Palmau.

…. …. Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellants : Mr. Akhouri Awinash Kumar, Advocate
: Mrs. Akhouri Ayushi Singh, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P.

05/Dated: 29.10.2026

1. Heard the parties.

2. In spite of valid service of notice, the respondent No.2 has not entered into appearance in the present case.

3. The present appeal has been filed against the impugned order dated 30.07.2026 passed in M.C.A No.234 of 2026 by the learned Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Pakur in connection with Pakur (M) P.S. Case No.82 of 2026, registered for the offence under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 126(2), 132, 221, 308(2), 351(2), 352/3(5) of the B.N.S, 2023, and Sections 3(i)(r)(s) of the SC/ST Act. Now, the case is pending in the court of the learned Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Pakur.

4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellants that the alleged victim is the Assistant Sub-Inspector of Police and allegation of extortion is there, but money has not been transacted. It has further been submitted that the appellants are in custody since 05.07.2026 and they undertake to participate in the trial. On the above basis, prayer for bail has been made.

5. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the factual matrix of the case, the appellants named above, are directed to be released on bail, on their furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Pakur in connection with Pakur (M) P.S. Case No.82 of 2026, subject to the condition that the appellants will submit self-attested photocopy of their Aadhaar Cards and also submit their mobile numbers before the learned trial court which they shall always keep active and will not change it during pendency of this case without prior permission of the court.

7. In the result, the present criminal appeal stands allowed and accordingly disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated: 29.10.2026
Pawan/-Uploaded on 30.10.2026