IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.7015 of 2026

Uma Shankar Prasad, son of Late Wakildeo Prasad, resident of Village Hari Gopal Mazumdar Road, Purana Bazar, P.O. Dhanbad, P.S. Bank More, District Dhanbad. **... ... Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Housing Department, Government of Jharkhand, having office at Project Bhawan, Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.
3. The Municipal Commissioner, Dhanbad Municipal Corporation, having office at Luby Circular Road, Dhanbad, P.O., P.S. & District Dhanbad.
4. The Town Planner/ Building Officer, Dhanbad Municipal Corporation, having office at Luby Circular Road, Dhanbad, P.O., P.S. & District Dhanbad.
5. M/s Newtech Villa Developers Pvt. Ltd., thorugh its Managing Director - Birendra Bhagat, son of Sri Satya Narayan Bhagat, resident of Gokul Apartment, B 102, 1st Floor, Rani Talab, Dhaiya, P.O. & P.S. Dhanbad, District Dhanbad.
6. Nirmolak Singh, son of Mangat Singh.
7. Prince Chabra, S/o Nirmolak Singh
8. Ran Preet Singh, S/o Nirmolak Singh
9. Manmit Singh, S/o Nirmolak Singh

   Respondent Nos.6 to 9 resident of Katras Road Matkuria, near Rajdhani Udyog, P.O. Dhanbad, P.S. Bankmore, District Dhanbad.

... ... Respondents

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Anil Kr. Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Mithilesh Singh, GA-IV
Mr. Bhanu Pratap, AC to GA-IV

02/ 07.09.2026

By filing this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

> "*(i) For direction commanding the respondent No.2 and 3 to consider and dispose of the petitioner's complain dated 30/07/2026 in accordance with law.*
>
> *(ii) For directing the respondent No.2 and 3 to conduct a spot inspection of the*

construction raised by the Respondent No.6 to 9 and compare the same with the sanctioned building plan.

(iii) For directing the respondents to determine whether the construction is authorized and sanctioned building plan.

(iv) For, during the pendency of this writ petition restrain the Respondent No.6 to 9 from any construction over the terrace/common area in question and protect the same in accordance with the building plan."

2. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner and learned counsel representing the respondents.

3. It is the grievance of the petitioner who claims himself to be the land owner, that the developer is making unauthorized construction, which is beyond the sanctioned plan. It is his case that the Dhanbad Municipal Corporation is not taking any step to stop the unauthorized construction.

4. Learned counsel representing the respondent – Dhanbad Municipal Corporation, Dhanbad, submits that they will look into the grievance of the petitioner and will take appropriate steps, if the petitioner files a detailed representation along with supporting documents.

5. Considering the said submission, I direct the petitioner to approach the respondent – Dhanbad Municipal Corporation, Dhanbad, by filing a fresh representation with all documents, within four weeks.

5.1. Upon receipt of such representation, the respondent – Dhanbad Municipal Corporation, will consider the case of the

petitioner and pass an appropriate order, within eight weeks thereafter; and also communicate the order to the petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid directions, this writ petition stands **disposed of**.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

07th September, 2026
Prashant.Cp-2

Uploaded on 09.09.2026