IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 5116 of 2025

Sudesh Sinha, S/o Akshayniranjan Lal, Resident of Siyaram Nagar, Ranchi Road, Marar, PO-Kuju and PS-Kuju, Dist-Ramgarh.

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. Union of India, through Chief Executive Officer, Cantonment Board, Ramgarh, PO-Ramgarh Cantonment, PS-Ramgarh, Dist-Ramgarh.
2. Commandant Officer, P.R.C., Station Head Quarter, Ramgarh, PO-Ramgarh Cantonment, PS-Ramgarh, Dist-Ramgarh.

... ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mrs. Moushmi Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Mahato, Adv.
Mr. Apurv, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
Ms. Niki Sinha, CGC
Mr. Vikash Kumar, Adv.

03/ 02nd September, 2026

1. Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner's only grievance is that the respondent Nos.1 & 2 are not disposing of the representation of the petitioner by which the petitioner has sought Permission / No Objection from the respondents to construct building over his land in question situated at Mouza Ramgarh, Thana No.82, Dist-Ramgarh Khata No.222, Plot No.1017 area 46 decimals, Plot No.1019 area 54 decimals, Plot No.3318 area 70 decimals, total area 1 acre 70 decimals.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his land is adjacent to the cantonment area and the petitioner is ready to make the construction after leaving 10 meter from the boundary of the cantonment area, which is the requirement. The petitioner had applied for "No Objection" but the matter has still not been decided or disposed of.

4. Considering the submission of the petitioner, I direct

the respondent No.2 or the appropriate authority of the Ramgarh Cantonment to dispose of the representation of the petitioner, as per law and which is applicable in respect of construction of any building near the Cantonment area, and communicate the order to the petitioner.

5. The entire process should be concluded within 08 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. If any clarification is necessary to be sought for, the respondent No.2 or the authorized representative or the authority can seek explanation from the petitioner so that the application can be disposed of as per law, at the earliest.

7. With the aforesaid observation, this writ petition is **disposed of.**

8. Pending Interlocutory Applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

02nd September, 2026
S.K.D/CP-2

Uploaded on 03/09/2026