IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (S) No. 7175 of 2026

Gautam Kumar, aged about 29 years, Son of Mohan Saw, Resident of Village-Bir Bir, P.O.-Sitagarh, P.S. & Dist.-Hazaribagh.

....**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Secretary, Department of Higher & Technical Education, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.
3. Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh through its Vice-Chancellor, Vinoba Bhave University Campus, P.O. & P.S. Hazaribagh, District Hazaribagh.
4. The Registrar, Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribagh, Vinoba Bhave University Campus, P.O. & P.S. Hazaribagh, District Hazaribagh.
5. The Principal, St. Columba's College, Hazaribagh, P.O. & P.S. Hazaribagh, District Hazaribagh. ....**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Suman Marandi, A.C. to S.C.-IV
Dr. Ashok Kr. Singh, Advocate

02/Dated: 15.09.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

i. For direction upon the concerned respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner, as the petitioner has been working continuously for more than 10 years on the post of Driver under the respondents, without any break and with satisfactory service record.

ii. For direction upon the respondents to restore the name of the petitioner in the Renewal List for the year 2026-27 and permit him to continue in service, as his name has been deleted without assigning any reason, without issuing any notice and without affording any opportunity of hearing.

iii. For direction upon the respondent to release and pay the entire arrears of wages/salary of the petitioner, as the petitioner has continuously discharged his duties but he has been illegally deprived of his wages.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; however, in spite of representation (Annexure-3) the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such, the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No.4 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner and if the order is passed in favour of the petitioner, consequential order shall be passed within a further period of 4 weeks. The petitioner shall serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

SEPTEMBER 15, 2026
vikas/-
uploaded
22/09/2026