IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (C) No. 8142 of 2026

Devnarayan Saw, S/o Late Govind Saw, R/o Village-Ara, P.O.-Ganeshpur, P.S.-Balumath, District-Latehar

..... Petitioner

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand, represented through Secretary, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Ranchi
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Latehar
3. The Circle Officer, Balumath, Latehar

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Chandra S. Pandey, Advocate
Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Ankit Kumar, A.C. to S.C.-I

Order No.02 **Date: 28.10.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of direction upon the respondent No. 3 to take appropriate decision on the petitioner's representation which according to him was received in the office of the respondent No. 3 on 28.02.2026 filed for issuance of online rent receipts with respect to the lands appertaining to Khata No. 175, Plot Nos. 321 & 447, measuring area of 4.55 acres & 0.69 acres respectively, Mouza-Arra.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner along with Shivnarayan and others filed a case invoking Section 87 of Chhota Nagpur Tenancy (CNT) Act, 1908 in the Court of Revenue Officer, Latehar. The said case was registered as Revenue Case No. 3382 of 1990. It is further submitted that the said case was decided in favour of the petitioner and others vide judgment dated

15.12.2008 passed by the Revenue Officer, Latehar. The decree to that effect was also prepared on 18.12.2008. The grievance of the petitioner is that he having right and title over the part of the land concerning Revenue Case No. 3382 of 1990, has represented the respondent No. 3 seeking mutation of the same, but the said representation has been kept pending, which has compelled him to prefer the present writ petition.

3. Mr. Ankit Kumar, learned A.C. to S.C.-I appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits that the aforesaid claim of the petitioner is required to be examined by the respondent No. 3. Hence, if the petitioner prefers a fresh representation along with relevant documents on the present issue before the respondent No. 3, an appropriate decision on the said claim of the petitioner will be taken in accordance with law.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of the prayer made in the writ petition, without entering into the merit of the case, the petitioner is given liberty to prefer a fresh representation along with relevant documents on the present issue before the respondent No. 3. On receipt of the said representation, the respondent no. 3, after verifying the relevant documents and on providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other persons, if so required,

shall take an appropriate informed decision within eight weeks from the date of filing of the said representation.

5. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with aforesaid liberty and direction.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

28.10.2026
Vikas/
Uploaded on 28.10.2026