IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.2736 of 2026

---

1. Dewanand Pandit @ Teklal, Son of Prem Pandit, aged about 46 years,
2. Ruplal Pandit, Son of Prem Pandit, aged about 37 years,
3. Toto Pandit, Son of Late Dego Pandit, aged about 51 years,
4. Nilkanth Pandit, Son of Late Dego Pandit, aged about 47 years,
5. Churaman Pandit, Son of Bhuneshwar Pandit, aged about 44 years,
6. Bhuneshwari Devi @ Lilawati Devi, wife of Nilkanth Pandit aged about 52 years.
7. Tulsi Pandit, Son of Bhuneshwar Pandit, aged about 28 years,
8. Bhuneshwar Pandit, Son of Late Bhatu Pandit, aged about 65 years,
9. Prem Pandit, Son of Bhatu Pandit, aged about 77 years.
10. Damodari Devi, wife of Dewanand Pandit, aged about 40 years.
11. Monika @ Muniya Devi @ Monika Devi, wife of Ruplal Pandit, aged about 33 years.
12. Bhuneshwari Devi, Wife of Bhuneshwar Pandit, aged about 45 years.
13. Pudina Devi @ Podina Devi, wife of Tolo Pandit, aged about 44 years.
14. Urmila Devi, wife of Bihari Pandit, aged about 34 years.
15. Rukmini Devi @ Mangari Devi, wife of prem Kumhar, aged about 65 years.

All are residents of Village- Mokamo, P.O. & P.S.- Sariya, District- Giridih. … Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opposite Party.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Diwakar Jha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sunil Kumar Dubey, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-11-09-2026

Heard the parties.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer for restoration of Cr.M.P. No. 3609 of 2023 to its original file which stood dismissed for default for non-compliance of the pre-emptory order dated 20.08.2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Cr.M.P. No. 3609 of 2023 was dismissed for non-compliance of the pre-emptory order dated 20.08.2025. It is next submitted that Cr.M.P. No. 3609 of 2023 was listed before this Bench on 20.08.2025 on which day the petitioners were directed to deposit Rs.2,000/- with JHALSA within four weeks, but due to inadvertence the advocate's clerk concerned forgot to deposit the same; which resulted in dismissal for non-compliance of the order dated 20.08.2025. It is further submitted that non-compliance of the learned counsel for the petitioner was neither deliberate nor intentional. It is also submitted that the petitioner has very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.M.P. No. 3609 of 2023 and unless the same is restored to its original file, the petitioner will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Cr.M.P. No. 3609 of 2023 be restored to its original file.

Learned counsel for the State has not raised any serious objection to the prayer of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, Cr.M.P. No. 3609 of 2023 is directed to be restored to its original file at the same stage at which it was before its dismissal.

Registry is directed to list Cr.M.P. No. 3609 of 2023 before the appropriate Bench after a week.

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 11.09.2026
Amar/