IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P. No.970 of 2026
------

1. Arti Devi, wife of Late Panchu Yadav.
1.1. Anil Yadav, son of Late Panchu Yadav.
1.2. Amit Yadav, son of Late Panchu Yadav.
2. Manoj Yadav @ Mahto, son of Late Ayodhya Mahto.
3. Pramila Devi, wife of Late Manoj Yadav.
4. Puni Devi, wife of Late Sanoj Yadav.
5. Nirmal Yadav, son of Late Rohan Mahto.
6. Pappu Yadav, son of Late Rohan Mahto.

All are resident of Village Karhanibad, Post Office & Police Station Kunda, Sub Division and District Deoghar, Jharkhand.

... ... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Commissioner, Santhal Pargana Division, Dumka, P.O. + P.S. and District Dumka.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar, P.O. + P.S. and District Deoghar.
4. The Charge Officer, Survey Camp, Deoghar-A (Rikhiya), P.O. + P.S. Deoghar, District Deoghar.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Deoghar, P.O. + P.S. and District Deoghar.
6. The Circle Officer, Mohanpur, P.O. & P.S. Mohanpur, District Deoghar, Jharkhand.
7. Smt. Sarla Devi, wife of Late Bholanath Khaware.
8. Shyam Nath Khaware
9. Prem Nath Khaware
10. Ashish Khaware
11. Manish Khaware

All are sons of Late Bhola Nath Khaware, and all resident of Village Bilasi Town, near Baidyanath Talkies, Deoghar, P.O., P.S., Sub Division & District Deoghar, Jharkhand.

... ... Opposite Parties

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Anil Kr. Jha, Advocate

For the O.P.s : Mr. Ashish Kumar Shekhar,
AC to SC (L&C)-II

02/ 11.09.2026

Heard the parties.

2. This Civil Misc. Petition has been preferred for

restoration of writ petition being W.P.(C) No.4123 of 2020, which was dismissed for default vide order dated 29.07.2026, as no one had appeared on that date on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that he could not appeared on that date when the case was called out, as he could not trace the listing of the writ petition on that date due to some network problem. He begs an unconditional apology and undertakes to appear on the next date, if the same is restored.

4. Learned counsel representing the opposite parties do not oppose the aforesaid submission.

5. Considering the submission of learned counsel representing the petitioners, this Civil Misc. Petition is **allowed**.

6. The writ petition being W.P.(C) No.4123 of 2020, is restored to its original file.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

11th September, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2

Uploaded on 14.09.2026