IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (C) No. 8118 of 2026

---

1. Radhika Sinku, D/o Bir Singh Sinku, R/o 35/2, Garikhana Road, P.O. & P.S.-Chaibasa, near Gurudwara, District-Chaibasa (Pashchimi Singhbhum)
2. Sreshthaa Jyoti Sinku @ Sreshtha Jyoti Sinku, W/o Ravi Laguri, R/o 35/2 Garikhana Road, P.O. & P.S.-Chaibasa, near Gurudwara, District-Chaibasa (Pashchimi Singhbhum)

..... Petitioners

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi
3. The Principal Secretary, Land & Land Revenue, Ranchi
4. The Division Commissioner, Singhbhum (Kolhan) Division, District Collectorate, P.O. & P.S.-Chaibasa Sadar, District-West Singhbhum
5. The Deputy Commissioner, Chaibasa, West Singhbhum
6. The Superintendent of Police, West Singhbhum
7. The Land Record Deputy Collector, Chaibasa, West Singhbhum
8. The Circle Officer, Chaibasa Sadar, West Singhbhum
9. Bir Singh Sinku, S/o Late Sagar Sinku, R/o Village-Jamdih (Hatgamaharia), P.O.-Jamdih, P.S.-Tonto, District-West Singhbhum Sringgesia
10. Ratni Sinku, W/o Bir Singh Sinku, R/o Village-Jamdih (Hatgamaharia), P.O.-Jamdih, P.S.-Tonto, District-West Singhbhum Sringgesia
11. Som Sagar Sinku, S/o Bir Singh Sinku, R/o Village-Jamdih (Hatgamaharia), P.O.-Jamdih, P.S.-Tonto, District-West Singhbhum Sringgesia

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioners : Ms. Tanu Kumari, Advocate
For the Res.-State : Mr. Shubham Gautam, AC to Sr. AAG

Order No.02 **Date: 28.10.2026**

1. The present writ petition has been filed challenging the issuance of correction slip in favour of the private respondents pursuant to an order said to have been passed by the respondent No. 8 in Mutation Case No. 651/R27/2025-26 with respect to the land appertaining to Khata No. 8, Plot No. 2988, Mouza-Diliamarcha, P.S.-

Kolhan, measuring an area of 3.75 decimals as according to the petitioners, the said mutation in favour of the private respondents has been done by the respondent No. 8 without issuing any notice to the petitioners.

2. Mr. Shubham Gautam, learned A.C. to Sr. A.A.G. appearing on behalf of the respondent-State, submits that the petitioners have efficacious/statutory remedy of preferring an appeal under Section 15 of Bihar Tenant's Holdings (Maintenance of Records) Act, 1973 against the order passed by the respondent No. 8 mutating the land in the name of the private respondents.
3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering that the petitioners have efficacious/statutory remedy of preferring appeal against the order passed by the respondent No. 8 in the aforesaid mutation case, I am not inclined to entertain the writ petition at this stage.
4. The petitioners are, however, at liberty to prefer an appeal invoking Section 15 of Bihar Tenant's Holdings (Maintenance of Records) Act, 1973 before the appellate authority.
5. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

28.10.2026
Vikas/
Uploaded on 28.10.2026