IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 4594 of 2025

Aparna Kumari Mishra, aged about 42 years, wife of Sri Ashok Kumar Pandey, resident of Panki Road, Baralota, P.O. & P.S. Medininagar, Dist Palamau. ……. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through its Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, Dist. Ranchi.
2. The Secretary, Women, Child Development and Social Security Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Bhawan, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, Dist. Ranchi.
3. The Director, Women, Child Development and Social Security (Social Welfare Directorate), Government of Jharkhand, 2nd Floor, Engineer Hostel, Sector-III, Dhurwa, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagarnathpur, Dist. Ranchi.

……. **Respondents**.

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Sahani, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Achyut Keshav, Sr.AAG

06/ 11.09.2026 The instant application has been preferred for quashing the order contained in memo No. 1897 dated 15.07.2025, issued by the 3rd respondent, whereby petitioner has been directed to be transferred from Lesliganj Project to Majhgaon Project on the basis of her representation without considering the representation of the petitioner dated 18.06.2025; which was otherwise.

2. Since one year has been passed and the transfer of the petitioner was stayed by this Court vide order dated 28.08.2025; as such, learned counsel for both the parties submit that since petitioner is already in the original place of posting, as such, interest of justice would be sufficed by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation which shall be considered in accordance with applicable rules, regulation and also the guidelines with regard to transfer of employees.

3. Having regard to the fair submissions of the parties, the instant application stands disposed of by directing the petitioner to file fresh representation before the respondent No. 3. The moment the respondent No. 3 will receive any such representation; he shall take an informed decision in

accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of such representation.

4. It goes without saying that since petitioner was enjoying the stay in this case; as such, till disposal of the representation of petitioner, she shall not be disturbed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

11th September, 2026
kunal/-
Uploaded
20.09.2026