IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S). No. 8516 of 2026

---

1. AJAY Kumar Das aged about 51 years son of Sanjay Kumar Das resident of village Janta, P.O.-Tilla, P.S.-Nimdih, Distt.-Seraikella-Kharsawan.

2. Debasis Kumar Pattanayak aged about years son of 45 Dinesh Kumar Pattanayak resident of village - Jail Road, P.O.-Seraikella, P.S.-Seraikella, Distt.-Seraikella Kharsawan.

3. Binay Kumar Tudu aged about 41 years son of Bauri Tudu resident of village Chainpur, P.O.-Chainpur, P.S.-Chandil, Distt. Seraikella Kharsawan.

4. Rudra Mahato aged about 40 years son of Jitu Mahato, resident of village -Dhadkidih P.O. Madhupur, P.S. Seraikella, Distt. Seraikella Kharsawan. **…..Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand Through The Chief Secretary At. Project Building, H.E.C. Dhurwa, P.O.P.S. Dhurwa, Distt. Ranchi,

2. The Secretary Department of Energy At. Project Building, H.E.C. Dhurwa, P.O.P.S. Dhurwa, Distt. Ranchi,

3. Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited Through its Chairman cum Managing Dircetor At. Engineering Building,H.E.C. Dhurwa, P.O.P.S. Dhurwa, Distt. Ranchi,

4. The General Manager (P& GA) Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited At. Engineering Building, H.E.C. Dhurwa, P.O.+P.S. Dhurwa, Distt. Ranchi,

5. The Executive Engineer, Jharkhand Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (Seraikela Circle) Electricity Supply awar parmandal AT.+P.O.+P.S.+DISTT. - Seraikella **…Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Rajesh Kr. Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, Advocate

06/ Dated: 02.11.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

"i. for issuance appropriate writ/writ(S) order/order (S) directions and/or a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding upon the

respondents for regularization of the petitioners as the petitioner no. 1 is working as Unskilled Khalasi since 01.09.1995 to 04.09.2007, 05.09.2007 to Nov 2008, and since 2011 to till date the Batan no. as Pat petitioner 1 working Chalak (Switch Board Operator). Petitioner no. 2 is working as a line man (Unskilled Khalasi) since 2001 to till date and Petitioner no. 3 is working as a line man since 2007 to till date. Petitioner no.4 is working as a line man since 2005 to till date within the respondents department post with full honesty and satisfaction of his seniors and without blemish ."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is fully entitled for the prayer made in the instant writ application; however, the grievance of the petitioner has not been redressed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submissions and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No. 4 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and take a decision in the matter in accordance with law and applicable rules and regulations, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner. The petitioner may serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. It goes without saying that if the decision is taken in favour of the petitioner, necessary consequential order be passed within a further period of 2 weeks.

7. It is made clear that if the petitioners are still working, they shall not be disengaged and shall receive their regular remuneration what they are getting today.

8. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

02.11.2026

Abha/-