

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**W.P. (S) No. 5652 of 2026**

Sushila Devi aged about 61 years W/o Late Bamhan Bhagat,
resident of Krishnapuri, Road No. 10 B, Chutia, P.O. and P.S.
Chutia, District Ranchi.**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. Union of India through the General Manager, South Eastern Railway, P.O. and P.S. Garden Reach, Kolkata 700 043, West Bengal.
2. The IG Cum Chief Security Officer, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, P.O. and P.S. Garden Reach, Kolkata 700 043, West Bengal.
3. Sr. DSC, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, Adra, P.O. and P.S. Adra, District Purulia, West Bengal.
4. The Divisional Railway Manager, South Eastern Railway, Ranchi Division, Hatia, P.O. and P.S. Hatia, District Ranchi.
5. The Divisional Security Officer, Railway Protection Force, South Eastern Railway, Ranchi Division, P.O. and P.S. Chutia, District Ranchi.**Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Akshay Kr. Mahato, Advocate
Mr. Navin Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI

02/Dated: 29.08.2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying therein for the following reliefs:

For issuance of appropriate Writ or Writs, direction or directions, order or orders commanding the Concern Respondents to show cause under what reason Respondents have not granted 3rd MACP to deceased Husband of petitioner i.e. Late Bamhan Bhagat on completion of 30 years service;

Petitioner further prays for issuance of appropriate Writ or Writs direction or directions, order or orders commanding the Concern Respondents to grant 3rd Modified Assured Career Progression (MACP) to husband of petitioner on completion of 30 years service from the date husband of Petitioner had completed 30 years because Respondents have granted 3rd MACP to other employees but without any proper reason have not granted 3rd MACP to husband of petitioner;

Petitioner further prays for issuance of appropriate Writ or Writs direction or directions, order or orders commanding the Concern Respondent to revise the family pension of the petitioner on grant of 3rd MACP to Husband of Petitioner and thereafter pay the consequential benefits to petitioner such as difference of salary difference of pension, difference of gratuity of deceased husband of Petitioner to petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

prayer made in this writ application can be allowed in view of the fact that the issue raised in this application is squarely covered by the order passed by this Court on 04.09.2025 in W.P.(S) No.78 of 2020.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submits that since no counter affidavit has been filed; as such, the petitioner may be directed to approach the concerned respondent by filing a fresh representation, who shall look into the matter so that the grievance of the petitioner can be redressed.

5. Having regard to the above and without going into the merits of the case, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by directing the respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner by treating this writ application as representation and if the case of the petitioner is same and similar with the case of the writ petitioner of W.P.(S) No.78 of 2020, then similar benefit shall be extended to the petitioner herein within a period of 10 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner shall serve a copy of this writ application along with the relevant documents to the concerned respondent for convenience.

6. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

AUGUST 29, 2026
vikas/-
uploaded
02/09/2026